Many Stories, One Nation

Curriculum Guide

Introduction

Many Stories, One Nation is a comprehensive high school Ethnic Studies course that examines American history through the lived experiences of diverse and historically underrepresented communities. By centering voices often marginalized in traditional curricula, the course helps students understand how different groups have navigated freedom, exclusion, resilience, and belonging — and how these journeys have shaped America's ongoing evolution.

Students develop deep understanding of diverse peoples' histories and essential civil discourse skills by examining how systems of exclusion operated and exploring how movements and strategies expanded democratic participation. The curriculum recognizes that building understanding across different backgrounds requires both honoring unique identities and finding shared values, enabling students to engage knowledgeably and empathetically with those from varied perspectives.

The curriculum spans nine units from the colonial era through the present, with a culminating capstone project applying civic knowledge and discourse skills to contemporary challenges.

Key Pedagogical Features

Civil Discourse Integration: Students learn steel-manning, star-manning, logical fallacy identification, and other methods for engaging across difference.

Competing Goods Framework: Students discover that most controversies involve legitimate but conflicting values, transforming how they approach disagreement.

Primary Source Analysis: Extensive engagement with historical documents, letters, speeches, and diverse voices.

Experiential Learning: Simulations, debates, and civil discourse forums allow authentic application.

What Makes This Approach Distinctive

Centering Marginalized Experiences with Multiple Tools for Justice: The curriculum centers the experiences of communities that have faced exclusion and systematic barriers to full democratic participation. Students examine how African Americans, Native Americans, Asian Americans, Latino/a Americans, women, immigrants, and other groups have navigated, resisted, and transformed systems of

inequality. Rather than presenting a single narrative, the curriculum explores how different communities have used constitutional tools — alongside direct action, cultural resistance, and community organizing — to advocate for justice and full citizenship.

Acknowledging Structural Barriers: Students learn that America's democracy has been shaped by ongoing tensions between democratic ideals and systematic exclusion. The curriculum directly addresses how economic interests, racial hierarchies, and structural inequalities have challenged — and continue to challenge — full democratic participation. By examining these barriers alongside the diverse strategies communities have employed to overcome them, students develop sophisticated understanding of both historical injustices and pathways toward greater equity.

Strategic Diversity in Justice Movements: Students examine how movements for justice have employed diverse strategies based on different analyses of power and different assessments of effectiveness. They analyze how leaders — from Frederick Douglass and William Lloyd Garrison to Martin Luther King Jr. and Malcolm X — offered different strategic visions while often invoking similar principles. Rather than asking which approach was "correct," students analyze when and why different strategies proved effective in particular contexts, and how apparently opposing approaches often complemented each other in advancing justice.

Civil Discourse with Commitments to Justice: The curriculum develops students' ability to engage productively with people holding different views while maintaining clear commitments to human dignity and democratic inclusion. Students learn that productive dialogue doesn't require abandoning convictions about justice, but rather demands the ability to understand different perspectives, identify areas of potential agreement, and work strategically toward change. Students also explore how different communities facing various forms of exclusion have built coalitions to advance shared goals, analyzing what enabled diverse groups to work together effectively and what barriers prevented coalition formation.

What Students Gain

Through engagement with primary sources, historical case studies, and structured dialogue, students learn:

Authentic Exploration of Diverse Experiences

- Examine how underrepresented groups experienced American history
- Recognize both systemic barriers to equality and individual agency
- Understand how groups leveraged democratic principles to advance equality and inclusion
- Apply constitutional principles as tools for evaluating current domestic social and political debates

Civil Discourse and Critical Thinking

- Evaluate multiple interpretations of historical events using evidence
- Cultivate skills for constructive engagement across political and cultural differences
- Identify competing goods, recognizing that most controversies involve legitimate but conflicting values
- Develop empathy without abandoning critical thinking

Civic Identity and Purpose

- See themselves and their peers as part of a shared American story
- Navigate diversity thoughtfully without cynicism, resentment, or ideological conformity
- Understand their role as bridge-builders in a diverse democracy
- Build confidence to participate meaningfully in democratic institutions and civic life

Students leave the course ready to understand America's complex past, engage constructively across difference, and participate meaningfully in shaping our shared future.

Unit 1: Identity, Character, and Civil Discourse

Unit Overview: Unit 1 establishes the foundation for the entire curriculum by exploring the complexity of identity — both students' own and others' — including how race, ethnicity, class, and other social categories shape experiences of inclusion and exclusion, while developing essential civil discourse skills. Students move beyond simplistic identity categories to recognize that each person embodies multiple, intersecting dimensions of identity, which is essential for understanding how to honor diversity while building unity: we cannot engage knowledgeably and empathetically across difference if we reduce individuals to single characteristics. This unit introduces character strengths that transcend cultural boundaries, examines dynamics of belonging and exclusion, and develops practical communication skills for navigating disagreement constructively. This unit also equips students with both the conceptual vocabulary and practical skills they will apply throughout the course.

Lesson 1: The Question of Identity and the Self

This opening lesson establishes the foundation by inviting students to explore the complexity of identity, both their own and others'. Students move beyond simplistic categories to recognize that each person

embodies multiple, intersecting dimensions of identity, essential for building unity within diversity: we cannot engage knowledgeably and empathetically across difference if we reduce individuals to single characteristics. By exploring concepts like human personality, ethnicity, race, and culture, students develop both the vocabulary and conceptual framework for nuanced discussions throughout the course. Concurrently, they establish civil discourse norms that will guide classroom conversations about sensitive topics.

Lesson 2: Identity Beyond Boundaries: Understanding Multiracialism in America

This lesson explores how multiracial identity challenges conventional understandings of race and ethnicity in America. Through analysis of Toni Morrison's short story "Recitatif" and contemporary case studies, students examine how racial categories are socially constructed and how multiracial experiences illuminate identity complexity for all Americans. The lesson explores how diverse identities can find both unity and authenticity, while preparing students for the next lesson's focus on character strengths by exploring how navigating complex identities develops resilience and adaptability.

Lesson 3: Character Strengths and Shared Humanity

Building on the exploration of identity complexity and multiracial experiences, this lesson shifts focus to what unites us across difference and character strengths that transcend cultural and ethnic boundaries. Through examining the distinction between subjective values and universal virtues, students discover how certain positive traits help all humans and communities thrive. This lesson prepares students to understand how these shared strengths can bridge differences and create belonging, setting up the next exploration of inclusion and exclusion in diverse communities.

Lesson 4: Diversity and Unity: Navigating Belonging and Exclusion in Diverse Communities

Students examine how communities create boundaries between insiders and outsiders, and how ethnicity shapes experiences of inclusion and exclusion. Building on previous lessons about identity complexity and character strengths, students examine how people navigate belonging and alienation in diverse communities. Students analyze how ethnicity shapes experiences of inclusion and exclusion by studying Roya Hakakian's immigrant experiences and considering three metaphors for American cultural integration: the melting pot, mosaic, and salad bowl. Students reflect on their own experiences with in-groups and out-groups while deepening their understanding of how diversity and unity can coexist. By grounding the discussion in historical context and emphasizing concrete examples, students develop vocabulary and frameworks for productive civil discourse about difference, identity, and integration.

Lesson 5: Diverse Democracy in Practice: The Periwig Controversy

Building on the previous lesson's exploration of belonging and integration metaphors, this lesson introduces pluralism as the political dimension of diversity, moving from social coexistence to democratic engagement. Through the historical Periwig Controversy, students discover that most public disputes involve competing goods (legitimate but conflicting values) rather than simple right versus wrong. This framework transforms how students approach disagreement: instead of viewing opponents as misguided or malicious, they learn to recognize the legitimate concerns underlying different positions. The lesson culminates in students identifying competing goods in contemporary controversies, preparing them for subsequent lessons where they will learn specific civil discourse skills for navigating these value conflicts constructively.

Lesson 6: Civil Discourse Foundations

Having discovered that most controversies involve competing goods, students now learn that recognizing these patterns is essential, but understanding alone isn't enough. This lesson bridges the gap by transitioning from recognizing competing goods to developing the specific communication skills needed to navigate them constructively. Students learn civil discourse as the practical skillset that makes a diverse democracy workable: the ability to engage respectfully across deep differences while maintaining both honesty and dignity. They begin by establishing a baseline through honest self-assessment, then learn foundational distinctions that reshape how they approach disagreement. The lesson explicitly addresses digital spaces as sites where discourse challenges are amplified. Steel-manning and star-manning techniques are introduced as concrete practices that embody the pluralist principle of engaging with others' strongest arguments and best intentions.

Lesson 7: Logical Fallacies and Analysis Skills

Civil discourse requires more than good intentions—it demands intellectual rigor and self-awareness. In this lesson, students develop critical thinking skills that form the foundation for constructive dialogue across difference. By learning to identify logical fallacies, students gain the ability to recognize flawed reasoning not only in others' arguments but, more importantly, in their own thinking. This self-awareness is essential for the intellectual honesty that civil discourse requires. The lesson introduces the SLEW Framework (Surprise, Learn, Engage, Win), which provides students with a practical approach for engaging with people who see the world differently. Through the "swap variables" technique, students practice examining their own perspectives with the same scrutiny they might apply to others, developing the intellectual flexibility necessary for navigating a pluralistic society.

Lesson 8: Civil Discourse Synthesis in Practice

After learning civil discourse foundations and adding critical thinking tools, students are now ready to integrate all these skills in a structured practice session. This lesson serves as a crucial bridge between skill acquisition and performance assessment. Unlike the upcoming unit wrap-up discussions, which will assess both content mastery and discourse skills, this lesson focuses primarily on skill development in a lower-stakes environment. Students practice applying steel-manning, star-manning, logical fallacy avoidance, and the SLEW Framework simultaneously while engaging with a contemporary issue that connects to unit themes. The lesson includes explicit meta-reflection on the civil discourse process itself, helping students identify which techniques come naturally and which require more conscious effort.

Lesson 9: Diversity and Unity/E Pluribus Unum: Civil Discourse in Practice (Wrap-Up Part 1)

After exploring the multifaceted nature of identity across eight lessons, students are now ready to synthesize their learning and prepare for meaningful civil discourse about how diverse identities contribute to American unity. This lesson serves as the bridge between content mastery and authentic application, providing students with dedicated time to review unit concepts, connect themes across lessons, and prepare thoroughly for the culminating civil discourse forum. Students work collaboratively to articulate the strongest versions of different perspectives on cultural adaptation versus preservation in shared American institutions, explicitly connecting this contemporary tension to concepts studied throughout the unit. Through structured preparation activities including position analysis, argument development, and practice mini-dialogues, students gain confidence in both their understanding of unit content and their ability to engage constructively across difference.

Lesson 10: Diversity and Unity/E Pluribus Unum: Civil Discourse Forum (Wrap-up Part 2)

This culminating lesson brings together all of Unit 1's content and skills in an authentic civil discourse forum that serves as the summative assessment of student learning. Building on the thorough preparation from Lesson 9, students demonstrate their ability to synthesize complex unit concepts — identity complexity, character strengths, belonging and alienation, integration metaphors, and a diverse democracy — while simultaneously applying the civil discourse techniques practiced throughout earlier lessons. This lesson is structured to maximize meaningful dialogue through explicit phases that mirror real-world democratic discourse: position presentations, clarifying questions, steel-manning and star-manning exercises, substantive dialogue and response, and synthesis of common ground. This structure ensures students move beyond debate toward genuine understanding, practicing the skills essential for democratic citizenship in a diverse democracy.

Unit 2: American Principles: Promoting or Impeding Equality?

Unit Overview: Unit 2 examines individual rights and community security within the context of American Governance Principles. Students explore the foundations and institutional structures of American democracy and the tensions they create. Through examining voices both included and excluded from early American democracy, students discover how different groups have invoked these same principles to advocate for full citizenship. We'll apply the principles to a case study on social media, free speech and school safety. The unit emphasizes how excluded groups have historically claimed the Declaration's promises as their own, and how constitutional structures work together as a system. Students practice civil discourse skills while grappling with the essential question: *Do principles of American government promote or impede equality?*

Lesson 1: Anarchy or Order - Hobbes and the Price of Security

This lesson introduces students to Thomas Hobbes's social contract theory through experiential learning and primary source analysis. Students explore a fundamental question that shaped American political philosophy: Why do we need government at all? By reading about Hobbes's life during the English Civil War, analyzing his own words from Leviathan, and participating in a simulation that recreates the tension between freedom and security, students gain concrete understanding of abstract philosophical concepts. This lesson establishes the framework for understanding social contract theory, which will be contrasted with John Locke's view in the next lesson and connects directly to the Declaration of Independence and Constitution later in the unit.

Lesson 2: Liberty's Foundation: Natural Rights and Limited Government

This lesson introduces students to John Locke's social contract theory through primary source analysis and experiential learning. Building directly on the previous lesson's exploration of Thomas Hobbes, students examine a fundamentally different answer to the question of why government exists and what limits its power. By analyzing Locke's own words from the Second Treatise on Government and participating in a government formation simulation, students discover the philosophical foundations that would later inspire the Declaration of Independence and American constitutional design. This lesson establishes the contrast between absolute authority (Hobbes) and limited government (Locke), preparing students to understand why the American Founders embraced Lockean principles.

Lesson 3: From Ideas to Implementation - Civil Discourse in the Founding Era

This lesson bridges social contract theory from previous lessons with the Declaration analysis to come by examining how founding-era leaders actually put philosophical principles into practice. Students discover that effective political change requires not just good ideas but strategic communication. By analyzing Benjamin Franklin's diplomatic approach alongside James Otis's more direct style, students see how different assumptions about human nature (Locke's optimism vs. Hobbes's pessimism) translate into actual political communication strategies. This lesson demonstrates that the founders' success depended on both principled ideals AND the ability to build coalitions through civil discourse, a skill that is as relevant today as it was in 1776.

Lesson 4: Self-Evident Truths: The Declaration's Revolutionary Claims

This lesson builds directly on the previous three lessons' exploration of social contract theory and civil discourse. Students examine the Declaration of Independence itself — discovering how revolutionary ideas spread through collaboration while also confronting the profound contradiction between the Declaration's universal language and the reality of exclusion based on race and gender. Students have studied Hobbes's pessimistic view of human nature, Locke's optimistic vision of natural rights and limited government, and Franklin's diplomatic approach to turning ideas into political reality. Now students examine the Declaration of Independence itself — the document that Franklin's civil discourse skills helped create and that embodies Lockean principles of natural rights, consent, and popular sovereignty. By analyzing the Declaration alongside the Virginia Declaration of Rights and Abigail Adams's critique, students discover how revolutionary ideas spread through collaboration while also confronting the profound contradiction between the Declaration's universal language and the reality of exclusion.

Lesson 5: Claiming the Declaration: How Excluded Groups Weaponized America's Founding Principles

Building on the previous lesson's examination of the Declaration's revolutionary principles and the profound contradiction between its universal language and the reality of racial and gender exclusion in 1776, students discover how African Americans, Native Americans, and women responded — not by rejecting the Declaration, but by relying on the founders' own words to demand inclusion. Through analyzing petitions and declarations from African Americans) Native Americans, and women, students see a powerful pattern: marginalized groups weaponized constitutional language to demand inclusion in American democracy. This lesson demonstrates the Declaration's ongoing power as a tool for social change and prepares students to understand how these principles would later be embedded in Constitutional structures.

Lesson 6: We the People: Designing Popular Government

This lesson examines how the Constitution translates the Declaration's principle of popular sovereignty into practical governmental structures. Students explore the foundational design principle of "We the People," understanding why this language was revolutionary in 1787, when political authority elsewhere came from kings claiming divine right. Through analysis of the Constitution's text and the Federalist/Anti-Federalist debates, students examine competing visions of representation: Madison's argument in Federalist 10 that a large republic with national representatives could better control factions, versus Brutus's concern that distant representatives cannot truly know or represent diverse citizens. Through civil discourse practices, students engage with the fundamental question of how a large, diverse republic can truly represent all its people.

Lesson 7: Balancing Power: Separation, Federalism, and Checks

Building on the previous lesson's exploration of popular sovereignty and representation, this lesson examines how the Framers designed institutional mechanisms to prevent tyranny while maintaining effective government. Students analyze separation of powers, federalism, and checks and balances through both physical demonstrations and civil discourse practices. Madison's insight from Federalist 51 ("If men were angels, no government would be necessary") becomes concrete as students understand why the Constitution doesn't simply tell officials to "be good" but instead creates structural safeguards where ambition counteracts ambition. By exploring how these structures work together to balance governmental power, students deepen their understanding of how constitutional design attempts to protect equality and liberty.

Lesson 8: Rights in Practice: Who Gets Constitutional Protections?

This lesson asks a fundamental Ethnic Studies question: Who enjoys the rights proclaimed in the Bill of Rights? This lesson moves from constitutional structures to explicit rights protections, examining how race, gender, and class shaped who could claim constitutional protections in practice. While Lesson 6 examined the Federalist-Anti-Federalist debate over whether a Bill of Rights was necessary, this lesson explores why these protections matter in practice and how marginalized Americans immediately began claiming them. Students analyze how the Bill of Rights translates abstract natural rights into concrete constitutional protections, building on their understanding from Lessons 1-2 (Hobbes and Locke's theories) and Lessons 4-5 (Declaration principles). By examining early voices like Benjamin Banneker and Judith Sargent Murray who immediately began claiming these rights for broader inclusion, students see how constitutional protections enable democratic participation and prepare for tomorrow's lesson on how rights evolved to encompass new populations.

Lesson 9: Constitutional Rights Evolving: How Excluded Groups Expanded Rights Through Resistance

Building directly on Lesson 8's exploration of the Bill of Rights, this lesson examines how constitutional protections evolved and extended to new populations through the activism and resistance of excluded

groups, culminating in the 14th Amendment and Supreme Court interpretation. Students analyze how 19th and 20th century Americans fought to make constitutional language apply to them, following the pattern established by Banneker and Murray (Lesson 8). By examining diverse voices — María Ruiz de Burton (Californio novelist invoking property rights), Jovita Idar (Mexican American feminist journalist using First Amendment), Virginia Minor, and the successful *Brown v. Board of Education* case — students discover that constitutional meaning evolves through organized resistance and strategic litigation by marginalized communities. This lesson connects Unit 2's institutional focus to the broader American story of expanding rights, preparing students for Lesson 10's synthesis.

Lesson 10: Imperfect but Perfectible: The Constitution and Equality's Evolution

This culminating content lesson synthesizes all Unit 2 concepts before the civil discourse wrap-up sessions. Students examine how constitutional principles operate in contemporary situations, demonstrating that the Founders' design choices continue to shape modern democracy. Building on social contract theory (Lessons 1-2), Declaration principles (Lessons 4-5), institutional structures (Lessons 6-7), and rights protections (Lessons 8-9), students analyze real-world cases where these elements interact. The lesson emphasizes that constitutional democracy is not a static system but a dynamic framework requiring constant balancing. By examining contemporary constitutional controversies through multiple lenses, students prepare for tomorrow's civil discourse session with a deeper understanding of how all constitutional elements work together to address the essential question about equality in America.

Lesson 11: Constitutional Tensions in Practice (Wrap-Up Part 1)

This first wrap-up lesson bridges the previous lesson's content synthesis with the civil discourse forum to come. Building on a case study examining social media, free speech, and school safety, students recognize that this situation represents a fundamental constitutional tension — individual rights versus community security — that appears across many contemporary issues. By examining additional scenarios, students see the pattern: the same constitutional principles they've studied throughout Unit 2 (social contract theory, Declaration ideals, constitutional structures, and rights protections) apply to debates about digital privacy, public health, campus speech, and more. Students receive position assignments and use class time to develop arguments grounded in specific constitutional principles from across the unit, ensuring they arrive at the forum ready to engage substantively rather than superficially.

Lesson 12: Constitutional Democracy in Action (Wrap-Up Part 2)

This culminating lesson brings together all Unit 2 learning through structured civil discourse. Students engage in a forum exploring the central tension between individual rights and community security, the same tension that animated debates between Hobbes and Locke, shaped the Declaration's principles, influenced constitutional design, and continues to drive constitutional evolution. Using the Civil Dialogue Template structure, students demonstrate both content mastery and civil discourse skills: presenting

constitutional arguments, steel-manning opposing views, finding common ground, and synthesizing learning. The lesson concludes with reflection connecting back to the unit's essential question about equality in American government. Students leave understanding that the ability to engage productively across difference by using shared constitutional knowledge as common ground is, itself, a form of democratic citizenship.

Unit 3: Indigenous Nations: Sovereignty, Resistance, and Survival Under Settler Colonialism

Unit Overview: Unit 3 examines how settler colonialism and racial hierarchies created America's founding paradox: democratic ideals coexisting with systematic exclusion. Students analyze how economic interests and racial hierarchies shaped who was included in early American democracy. Through examining the diverse strategies groups employed when facing exclusion — from cooperation to armed resistance, from legal challenges to cultural preservation — students develop nuanced understanding of how communities navigated structural barriers. The unit explores European immigrant experiences, Native American responses to colonization, the systematic exclusion of enslaved Africans, the Constitutional Convention's compromises on slavery, and the precarious position of free people of color. Students discover that understanding historical exclusion and resistance is essential for understanding contemporary struggles for full democratic inclusion. Throughout this unit, students examine how economic interests and racial hierarchies determined who was included in "We the People" and how different communities developed diverse strategies when facing systematic exclusion.

Lesson 1: European Immigration and the Construction of Whiteness in Colonial America

This lesson examines how even among European immigrants, racial hierarchies determined who could become fully "American." Students learn that racial categories like "whiteness" were constructed in colonial America to determine who could become "American." Even among European immigrants, racial hierarchies shaped who gained full inclusion, with certain European groups occupying different positions in emerging racial classifications. Students analyze how Germans, Scots-Irish, Catholics, and other European groups faced varying degrees of acceptance despite their shared status as immigrants to the New World. Through examining Crèvecoeur's "melting pot," Washington's religious tolerance, and Franklin's nativist fears, students practice identifying competing visions of American identity that persist in contemporary immigration debates. This foundational lesson demonstrates that civil discourse about inclusion and belonging has been central to American democracy from its inception, setting the stage for examining how non-European groups faced even greater barriers to inclusion.

Lesson 2: Indigenous Nations Respond to European Invasion: Diplomacy and Resistance

Strengthen opening: Students explore how democratic ideals of consent and representation coexisted with the violent dispossession of those already present on the continent. By analyzing Indigenous nations' strategic responses — from Massasoit's diplomacy to Metacom's resistance — students see how different groups responded to European invasion and settler colonialism. The lesson emphasizes practicing civil discourse around competing interpretations: was conflict inevitable or were there missed opportunities for coexistence? Through examining the Covenant Chain alliance and various adaptation strategies, students recognize the sophisticated political systems Native Americans developed and the tragic outcomes when power imbalances overwhelmed diplomatic efforts. This prepares students to understand how exclusion from democratic processes shapes group responses.

Lesson 3: Indigenous Resistance in the Southwest: The 1680 Pueblo Revolt

This lesson complicates simple narratives by examining how both indigenous peoples and Spanish colonizers initially saw mutual benefit in cooperation. Students analyze how power imbalances and economic need transform voluntary alliances into coercive relationships, demonstrating that understanding initial good faith doesn't excuse later exploitation. Through examining the spectrum from cooperation to resistance (including the 1680 Pueblo Revolt, the most successful indigenous uprising in North American history), students practice recognizing agency even within oppressive systems, a crucial skill for civil discourse about historical injustices. This lesson builds toward understanding how different colonial systems created different patterns of inclusion and exclusion.

Lesson 4: Cherokee Sovereignty vs. American Expansion: When Democracy Enacts Ethnic Cleansing: Removal and Resistance

Students confront how democratic majorities enacted forced removal when examining the Cherokee adoption of American constitutional forms, literacy, and legal systems — none of which prevented removal. Through structured debate about Jackson's removal policies versus Cherokee sovereignty claims, students practice steel-manning opposing positions while recognizing that some democratic decisions violate fundamental rights. The Cherokee created a written constitution, published a bilingual newspaper, and won a Supreme Court case (*Worcester v. Georgia*), yet white democratic majorities still enacted forced removal that killed thousands on the Trail of Tears. This lesson explores an important example of the potential for democratic majorities to undermine fundamental rights and enact exclusionary policies, preparing students to analyze similar tensions throughout American history.

Lesson 5: Enslaved Africans Build Community and Resist: Agency Under Oppression

This lesson explores how enslaved Africans maintained agency, built community, and resisted oppression despite the systematic violence of racial slavery. Students analyze various forms of resistance — from the Stono Rebellion to cultural preservation — demonstrating that Black people never accepted their enslavement and consistently fought for freedom using every tool available, including invoking the language of natural rights that the Declaration proclaimed but denied them. By examining primary sources including the 1773 Massachusetts Petition and Phillis Wheatley's poetry, students see how enslaved people articulated claims to freedom using the language of natural rights. The lesson emphasizes that human capacities for culture-building and agency remain resilient in the face of oppression and exclusion, and that community-building itself can be a form of political action. This prepares students for the next lesson's examination of how the founders dealt with slavery's contradiction at the Constitutional Convention.

Lesson 6: The Constitution and Slavery: When Democracy Protects Inequality

Through examining the Constitutional Convention's compromises on slavery, students grapple with democracy's most fundamental tension: can a system claiming to be based on equality deliberately protect fundamental inequality? Using fishbowl discussion and civil discourse protocols, students analyze how delegates with shared commitments to natural human rights nevertheless reached opposite conclusions about slavery's place in the republic. The Three-Fifths Compromise, the slave trade provision, and the fugitive slave clause reveal how economic interests in slavery and white supremacy shaped constitutional outcomes over moral principles. This lesson demonstrates the limits of civil discourse in reaching consensus when interests conflict with principles, and that compromise isn't always morally neutral — preparing students to understand how these unresolved tensions would eventually lead to Civil War.

Lesson 7: Free Black Communities: Building Institutions While Fighting for Full Citizenship

Students examine the precarious position of those legally free but excluded from full citizenship. Through analyzing how free communities of multiracial and African descent built parallel institutions (churches, mutual aid societies) while simultaneously demanding inclusion, students see dual strategies for democratic participation. Figures like Richard Allen, who founded the African Methodist Episcopal Church, and Paul Cuffe, a successful ship owner who advocated for African colonization, demonstrate the range of responses to limited citizenship. The lesson emphasizes that civil discourse requires understanding why marginalized groups might work both within and outside existing systems, an insight crucial for understanding contemporary social movements.

Lesson 8: Analyzing Patterns of Colonialism and Resistance (Wrap-Up Part 1)

This synthesis lesson helps students identify recurring patterns across all groups studied: economic interests overriding stated principles, legal systems codifying exclusion, and marginalized groups building parallel institutions. Students prepare for structured civil discourse by analyzing why different groups chose cooperation, resistance, or separation based on their specific circumstances and resources. Through creating visual maps connecting experiences across European immigrants, Native Americans, enslaved Africans, and free people of color, students recognize that adaptation didn't guarantee acceptance and that similar strategies produced different outcomes depending on context. This lesson emphasizes that effective civil discourse requires understanding structural factors, not just individual choices.

Lesson 9: Mock Constitutional Convention (Wrap-Up Part 2)

In this culminating exercise, students engage in a mock Constitutional Convention where they must argue positions they may personally oppose, practicing the highest level of civil discourse. Through steel-manning and star-manning exercises, students learn to identify the legitimate values underlying positions they disagree with. Representing different constituencies from the founding era, including those excluded from the actual Convention, students grapple with the same tensions the founders faced: how to balance competing interests, how to form a union across deep differences, and whether compromise on fundamental questions of human dignity can ever be justified. This lesson demonstrates that understanding doesn't mean agreement, but that democracy requires genuinely grappling with opposing perspectives rather than dismissing them. Students also reflect on whose voices were excluded from the actual Convention — enslaved Africans, Indigenous nations, free Black Americans, and women — and how that exclusion shaped the Constitution's original protection of slavery and dispossession of Indigenous peoples.

Unit 4: "I Contain Multitudes": The Spirit of Democracy and Its Limits

Unit Overview: Unit 4 examines how American democracy expanded and contracted during the antebellum period when democratic ideals were simultaneously celebrated and systematically denied based on race, class, and national origin. Unit 4 reveals how democratic ideals were simultaneously celebrated and systematically denied based on race, class, and national origin during the antebellum period. Students learn that American democracy has always involved negotiating between competing groups with different interests, resources, and strategies. Rather than presenting a simplistic narrative

of progress, these lessons show how democratic ideals have consistently been challenged by economic exploitation, white supremacy, and systemic racial hierarchies. The unit examines the expansion of slavery, various forms of resistance among enslaved people, the abolition movement's strategic debates, mixed-race experiences, Irish immigration and conflict, Hispanic communities facing American expansion, and Manifest Destiny's multiple perspectives. Through practicing civil discourse skills (steel-manning, perspective-taking, and creative problem-solving), students develop tools for addressing similar challenges in contemporary democracy. Rather than presenting a simplistic narrative of progress, these lessons show how democratic ideals have consistently been challenged by economic exploitation, white supremacy, and systemic racial hierarchies.

Lesson 1: How Slavery Built America: Economic Exploitation and Racial Hierarchies

This lesson examines how economic systems built on racial slavery shape political power and social relationships in a democracy. Students analyze how the expansion of cotton and sugar production through enslaved labor created wealth that concentrated political influence in the hands of white slaveholders, directly challenging democratic ideals of equality. Through examining the internal slave trade and paternalistic ideology, students practice analyzing how economic interests can override moral principles in democratic societies, a tension that requires civil discourse to address. The lesson demonstrates how the Cotton Kingdom’s enormous profitability made slavery increasingly entrenched despite growing moral opposition, setting the stage for understanding the strategies enslaved people developed in response.

Lesson 2: Experiencing Slavery: Enslaved People's Resistance and Resilience: Maintaining Agency Under Oppression

Students explore how enslaved people maintained dignity and agency despite systematic violence and exclusion from democratic participation. By analyzing various forms of resistance—from work slowdowns to cultural preservation to armed rebellion—students examine how enslaved communities created parallel systems of meaning and community as acts of resistance. Through primary sources including slave narratives and accounts of resistance, students see that enslaved people were not passive victims but strategic actors who found ways to assert their humanity under brutal conditions. This lesson emphasizes that civil discourse must include voices from those historically excluded from formal political processes, preparing students to understand the abolition debates that follow.

Lesson 3: Free Black Communities and the Abolition Movement: Leading the Fight for Freedom

This lesson investigates how marginalized citizens organize to challenge systemic injustice within democratic systems. Students examine the precarious position of free African Americans who, despite legal freedom, faced systematic exclusion from citizenship rights that white Americans enjoyed... Through examining organizations like the American Anti-Slavery Society and leaders like Frederick Douglass and Maria Stewart, students see how free Black communities led the abolition movement while navigating tensions with white abolitionists.

Lesson 4: Abolition Strategies: The 1843 Convention Debate

Through structured civil discourse exercises, students practice steel-manning opposing viewpoints about how to fight injustice. The lesson centers on the 1843 National Convention of Colored Citizens, where Henry Highland Garnet advocated immediate resistance while Samuel Davis argued for gradual change through moral persuasion. The lesson connects constitutional interpretation to tactical choices, showing how fundamental beliefs about system legitimacy shape strategic decisions. Underlying this tactical debate was the Garrison-Douglass divide: Garrison believed the Constitution was irredeemably pro-slavery, while Douglass argued it could be used to achieve freedom. Students learn that even those who agree on goals (ending slavery) can disagree profoundly on methods, a key insight for democratic participation.

Lesson 5: Mixed-Race Identities in Antebellum America: Navigating Racial Hierarchies

Students examine how rigid social categories fail to capture human complexity, challenging simplistic binaries that often dominate political discourse. Through analyzing mixed-race experiences in antebellum America, students see how legal and social constructions of race shaped democratic participation. Figures who navigated between racial categories —sometimes passing as white, sometimes claiming Black identity — reveal the arbitrary nature of racial classifications while also showing their devastating real-world consequences. This lesson emphasizes that effective civil discourse requires recognizing complexity rather than reducing people to categories, preparing students to analyze how immigrants navigated similar identity challenges.

Lesson 6: Irish Immigration and the Politics of Exclusion: Who Gains Access to Whiteness?

This lesson explores how new groups seek inclusion in democratic society while facing discrimination, and how some immigrant groups gained acceptance by distancing themselves from Black Americans.

Students analyze the tension between America's democratic promise and economic reality, examining how poverty and prejudice can coexist with political freedom. Through examining Irish immigrants' experiences — fleeing famine to face “No Irish Need Apply” signs while simultaneously gaining access to whiteness and political participation denied to free Blacks — students see how racial hierarchies shaped who could become “American.” The lesson demonstrates how economic competition between marginalized groups was exploited to divide potential allies and reinforce white supremacy.

Lesson 7: The 1863 Draft Riots: How Economic Competition Divides Marginalized Communities

Students confront how democratic participation doesn't automatically prevent oppressed groups from oppressing others. Through examining the Draft Riots, students see how economic anxiety, white supremacy, and political tensions can explode into racist violence. Irish immigrants — who faced discrimination themselves — targeted Black New Yorkers in anti-Black racist violence in one of the deadliest riots in American history. This lesson emphasizes the importance of addressing structural inequalities through civil discourse before they lead to tragic violence, while also recognizing that understanding the causes of violence doesn’t excuse it. Students analyze how demagogues exploited legitimate grievances to direct anger at even more vulnerable populations.

Lesson 8: American Expansion and Hispanic Dispossession: The Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo

This lesson challenges narratives of empty frontiers by examining sophisticated Hispanic societies that predated U.S. conquest. Students analyze how established communities—some tracing their presence to the 1500s—faced forced incorporation into American society and dispossession from their lands... Through examining the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo's promises and their betrayal, students see how "becoming American" was imposed through conquest on people already living in their ancestral homelands. The lesson demonstrates that “becoming American” doesn’t require abandoning one’s heritage and models how civil discourse must acknowledge historical complexity rather than accepting simplified narratives about American expansion.

Lesson 9: Manifest Destiny: Ideology Justifying Dispossession

Through perspective-taking exercises, students practice understanding how the same events appear differently to different groups. By examining Manifest Destiny through Indigenous, Hispanic, religious, and white expansionist lenses, students see how sincere beliefs can justify systematic dispossession and violence. The religious conviction that Americans had a divine mission to spread democracy across the continent coexisted with the practical displacement of peoples already living democratically on that land. This lesson emphasizes that civil discourse requires genuinely understanding others’ worldviews,

not just their positions — and that understanding a perspective doesn't require endorsing its conclusions.

Lesson 10: Mapping Constitutional Strategies (Wrap-Up Part 1)

Students synthesize Unit 4 learning by examining how different groups invoked constitutional principles and used democratic tools even before the Civil War Amendments expanded rights. Building on Lesson 4's Garrison-Douglass debate about whether the Constitution could deliver freedom, students map which democratic tools each group accessed and how they navigated the fundamental question: *work within the system or outside it?* Through analyzing how enslaved people, free Blacks, Native Americans, Hispanic Americans, and immigrants each engaged with constitutional principles, students see these groups as strategic agents rather than passive victims. This lesson shows that even in the darkest period of American history, marginalized groups actively engaged with democratic principles.

Lesson 11: Constitutional Strategy Forum (Wrap-Up Part 2)

In this culminating civil discourse exercise, students apply both Unit 4's content knowledge and civil discourse skills simultaneously. Through a Strategic Response Forum, students represent different groups' constitutional strategies, practice steel-manning and star-manning, explore Hidden Third Options, and investigate coalition-building possibilities based on shared constitutional principles. Unlike traditional debates seeking to prove one strategy "best," this forum explores how diverse approaches using similar constitutional tools could strengthen rather than weaken movements for equality. Students discover that groups facing different barriers but invoking shared constitutional language — particularly First Amendment freedoms — had potential for powerful coalition-building, setting the stage for Unit 5's examination of how expanded constitutional tools proved Douglass partially right.

Unit 5: Civil War, Reconstruction and Constitutional Revolution: Marginalized Groups Fight for Rights

Unit Overview: Unit 5 examines how constitutional revolution following the Civil War created new tools for marginalized groups to claim full citizenship —and how white supremacy systematically reversed these gains. Students explore how diverse groups navigated dramatic social change from 1865-1920. Students explore the constitutional revolution of Reconstruction, industrial transformation's impact, new immigration waves, and different groups' strategies for securing rights and equality. The unit explores the Washington-DuBois debate about strategies for securing rights, Native American responses to Industrial Age America, Hispanic Americans building the modern West, Alaska Native peoples'

innovative approaches to cultural continuity, U.S. territorial expansion, Hawaiian annexation, immigration experiences, Asian American exclusion and adaptation, and the codification of racial classification systems. The essential question asks: How do marginalized groups use democratic tools to overcome discrimination?

Lesson 1: The Road to Civil War: When Compromise Fails

This lesson opens Unit 5 by examining how the unresolved tensions from Unit 4 — competing economic systems built on free vs. enslaved labor, moral arguments over slavery's humanity, and sectional interests — ultimately overwhelmed political compromise. Students analyze how territorial expansion following the Mexican-American War forced the nation to confront questions it had avoided since the founding: Could slavery expand westward? Could Congress regulate it in territories? Could the Union survive these fundamental disagreements? By examining the Missouri Compromise (1820) and Compromise of 1850, students understand how temporary political solutions postponed but could not resolve contradictions between America's founding ideals and its economic and social realities. This lesson prepares students for Lesson 2's examination of how Dred Scott made compromise impossible.

Lesson 2: Dred Scott and the Civil War Amendments: Constitutional Revolution

Through examining diverse voices — Frederick Douglass's insistence on full political rights, Andrew Johnson's opposition to Black equality, Southern Democrats' resistance to change, and formerly enslaved people's own visions articulated in conventions — students practice civil discourse while analyzing competing Reconstruction visions. Students discover that even those sharing goals (national reunification) proposed vastly different paths, understanding how fundamental assumptions about race, democracy, and federal power shape policy choices. This lesson prepares students for understanding why Reconstruction ultimately failed and how its unresolved tensions continue to shape American democracy.

Lesson 3: Reconstruction and its Aftermath: The Rise and Suppression of Black Political Power

Students examine how Reconstruction initially fulfilled constitutional promises — empowering African Americans to vote, hold office, establish schools, and reunite families — while also inaugurating decades of systemic oppression through white terrorist violence and Jim Crow laws. Students analyze the 1876 compromise, *Plessy v. Ferguson*'s "separate but equal" doctrine, and the legal segregation structures that denied African Americans full citizenship for nearly a century. Students understand Reconstruction's "failure" as actually the successful reversal of Black political power by white supremacists through organized violence and legal oppression. Within this context of broken constitutional promises, Booker T. Washington and W.E.B. DuBois developed competing strategies for securing the rights the

Constitution guaranteed but reality denied. Through civil discourse exercises, students understand that both strategies represented legitimate responses to the failure of Reconstruction: Washington's emphasis on economic advancement first and DuBois's demand for immediate political rights.

Lesson 4: Native Americans: Strategic Responses to Industrial Age America

Following the Civil War, Native American peoples confronted an industrializing nation with overwhelming military and economic power. In response, tribes and individuals developed diverse strategies — from military resistance to cultural adaptation to legal challenges — based on their specific circumstances and goals. Through examining primary sources including tribal council records, boarding school accounts, and legal petitions, students analyze how Native Americans demonstrated agency and resilience even within severely constrained circumstances. The Dawes Act's attempt to break up tribal lands and the boarding school system's assault on Native cultures reveal both the brutality of federal policy and Native peoples' determination to survive. Students practice civil discourse skills by analyzing how different strategic choices reflected different assessments of available options, understanding how these choices continue to shape Native American sovereignty today.

Lesson 5: Hispanic Americans Building Industrial America

Building on Unit 4's examination of established Hispanic communities, this lesson examines how Hispanic Americans — those whose families had been in the Southwest for centuries as well as newer arrivals — shaped Industrial Age America. Students analyze how Hispanic Americans contributed to railroad construction, mining, agriculture, and urban development while navigating discrimination and maintaining cultural identity. Through primary sources and civil discourse exercises, students understand Hispanic Americans as builders of the modern West, not merely as laborers. The lesson reveals how families like the Californios faced dispossession despite Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo promises, while others found opportunities in the expanding economy.

Lesson 6: Alaska Native Peoples: Navigating Cultural Continuity

Building on the understanding of strategic choices from earlier lessons, this lesson examines how Alaska Native peoples developed innovative approaches to cultural continuity after becoming part of the United States in 1867. Students analyze how three distinct regions — Arctic/Western, Interior, and Southeast — each created different strategies based on their geographic circumstances and cultural traditions. Through primary sources and comparative analysis, students understand Alaska Native peoples as sophisticated strategic actors who developed the unique ANCSA (Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act) corporate model, avoiding the reservation system while maintaining both cultural identity and economic power. This lesson demonstrates how different colonial experiences created different strategic options, preparing students to understand varying paths to self-determination.

Students practice identifying how geographic and historical context shapes available strategies for maintaining community sovereignty.

Lesson 7: U.S. Territorial Expansion in Alaska and the Pacific Northwest

Building on the understanding of Alaska Native strategic choices from Lesson 6, this lesson examines how different philosophical foundations about land ownership shaped U.S. territorial expansion into the Pacific Northwest and Alaska. Students analyze three competing principles (discovery doctrine, settlement theory, and original occupancy) to understand why different groups believed their claims were legitimate. Through civil discourse exercises and primary source analysis, students see how different expansion methods (treaty negotiation versus purchase) created distinct strategic options for Indigenous peoples, ultimately leading to contrasting outcomes: the reservation system in the Pacific Northwest versus Alaska's unique corporate model.

Lesson 8: Hawaiian Sovereignty vs. U.S. Annexation: Contested Claims to Democracy

Building on the exploration of U.S. territorial expansion methods from Lesson 7, this lesson examines how the Hawaiian Kingdom - an internationally recognized sovereign nation - pursued multiple strategies to maintain independence when faced with increasing American influence. Students analyze how both Native Hawaiians and American annexationists invoked democratic principles to justify opposing positions, demonstrating that shared political language can mask fundamentally different goals. Through examining strategic choices made by Queen Lili'uokalani and Native Hawaiian leaders, students understand how power imbalances affect both available options and their likelihood of success, while developing skills for evaluating competing claims to democratic legitimacy.

Lesson 9: Immigration and American Identity: Who Becomes American? Race and the Boundaries of Citizenship

This lesson examines how immigration and naturalization laws created racial boundaries around American identity during the great wave of immigration from 1880-1920. Through Mary Antin's contrasting accounts of her family's experience (her optimistic "The Promised Land" versus her father's memoir of hardship), students understand that immigrant experiences defied simple categorization. Immigrants could experience both significant hardship and meaningful opportunity, often simultaneously. Building on the examination of competing democratic claims in Hawaii, students see how similar questions about belonging and citizenship shaped immigration debates, with race determining who could naturalize, own land, or marry across racial lines. Students practice civil discourse skills by understanding why different narratives about immigration serve important purposes while recognizing that immigration debates were fundamentally about maintaining white supremacy.

Lesson 10: Asian Immigration: Creative Adaptation to Exclusion (1850-1924)

This lesson examines how Asian immigrants - facing the most comprehensive legal exclusion of any immigrant group during the Industrial Age - developed creative strategies to build communities, preserve their cultures, and pursue opportunities within severely constrained circumstances. Unlike European immigrants who faced cultural prejudice but could eventually naturalize, Asian immigrants were barred from citizenship, faced anti-miscegenation laws, and were prohibited from owning land in many states. Despite these unique barriers, Asian Americans demonstrated remarkable agency through political organizing, legal challenges, community institution-building, and cultural adaptation. Students analyze primary sources from diverse Asian American voices, including women's perspectives often overlooked in traditional narratives, to understand how different individuals and communities made strategic choices about responding to exclusion.

Lesson 11: The Codification of the One-Drop Rule: Legally Defining Race to Maintain White Supremacy

This lesson examines how American law explicitly codified white supremacy through the one-drop rule, representing America's most systematic legal departure from its founding principles. Students analyze how the Virginia Racial Integrity Act of 1924 created a comprehensive system of racial classification that denied individual merit, eliminated mixed-race identity as a legal category, and made racial inequality permanent and hereditary by legally defining who counted as "white." Building on earlier lessons examining Asian exclusion, immigration restriction, and Hispanic American experiences, students identify patterns across these interconnected exclusion systems, reaching their peak in the 1920s as white Americans used law to defend racial privilege. Through analyzing the 1662 and 1924 Virginia laws alongside *Plessy v. Ferguson,* students understand how mathematical precision and pseudoscientific language gave discrimination a false appearance of objectivity. Students practice civil discourse by examining how legal systems can codify exclusion while claiming neutrality, connecting to contemporary debates about how law shapes social categories.

Lesson 12: Using the Constitution to Secure Rights (Wrap-Up Part 1)

This synthesis lesson prepares students for civil discourse by examining how different groups invoked constitutional principles and used democratic tools to overcome discrimination during the Industrial Age. Students map which constitutional amendments, legal strategies, and democratic institutions each group employed — the 14th Amendment's equal protection clause, First Amendment freedoms of speech and assembly, labor organizing, and electoral participation — understanding them as active agents engaging with American democracy. Students see how diverse groups used similar constitutional language, especially First Amendment freedoms, in legitimately different strategic approaches to realize democratic ideals.

Lesson 13: Strategic Response Forum (Wrap-Up Part 2)

In this culminating civil discourse exercise, students apply both Unit 5's content knowledge and civil discourse skills simultaneously. Through a Strategic Response Forum, students represent different groups' constitutional strategies from 1865-1920: African Americans' civil rights advocacy, women's suffrage organizing, labor union formation, new immigrants' community building, and Hispanic Americans' resistance to marginalization. Students practice steel-manning and star-manning, explore Hidden Third Options, and investigate coalition-building possibilities based on shared constitutional principles. Unlike traditional debates seeking to prove one strategy "best," this forum explores how diverse approaches using similar constitutional tools could have strengthened rather than weakened movements for equality, discovering that groups facing different barriers but invoking shared constitutional language had potential for powerful coalition-building they may not have fully realized.

Unit 6: Between World Wars: Immigration, Restriction, and Resilience

Unit Overview: Unit 6 examines the complex forces that led to immigration restriction between 1914 and 1945. Building on Unit 5's exploration of how diverse groups used constitutional tools to advocate for their rights, students encounter a period when scientific racism, economic anxieties, WWI nationalism, and the Red Scare converged to dramatically limit immigration and challenge American pluralism. The unit examines African American resilience during Jim Crow, women's suffrage strategies, New Deal complexities, WWII's Double V Campaign, Japanese internment, and Mexican American wartime experiences. The constitutional question of who belongs in "We the People" remains central throughout.

Lesson 1: Scientific Racism and the 1924 Immigration Restriction

This lesson opens Unit 6 by examining how scientific racism, economic anxieties, World War I nationalism, and the Red Scare converged to dramatically limit immigration between 1914 and 1945. Building on Unit 5's exploration of how diverse groups used constitutional tools to advocate for their rights, students encounter a period when multiple factors combined to restrict who could become American. Students analyze how the Johnson-Reed Act of 1924 used pseudoscientific racial hierarchies to create national origin quotas favoring Western Europeans, how Mexican Repatriation removed

hundreds of thousands (including U.S. citizens) and how the U.S. Border Patrol was created. Through primary source analysis and timeline creation, students develop understanding of multiple causation while recognizing that even during periods of increased restriction, affected communities continued to invoke American ideals and demonstrate resilience.

Lesson 2: Surviving Jim Crow: Black Cultural Renaissance and Political Resistance

This lesson examines a profound historical puzzle: How did African Americans create one of America's greatest cultural contributions during the very period when Jim Crow segregation was most oppressive and racial violence through lynching was pervasive? Through this exploration, students discover that cultural production itself can be resistance. Building on the previous lesson's exploration of immigration restriction and constitutional questions about belonging, students analyze W.E.B. DuBois's concept of "double consciousness," the experience of being both African and American in a society that often denied full citizenship. Through a historical roundtable discussion, students examine five complementary strategies: Ida B. Wells's fearless anti-lynching journalism, DuBois's call for immediate political rights, Marcus Garvey's vision of Black economic self-sufficiency, Zora Neale Hurston's emphasis on individual transcendence, and A. Philip Randolph's labor organizing. Students discover these weren't competing solutions but complementary strategies that together demonstrated resilience, used constitutional tools (especially First Amendment freedoms), and prepared groundwork for future civil rights victories.

Lesson 3: The Women's Suffrage Movement, 1848-1920

This lesson examines how women's suffrage advocates used constitutional principles and coalition-building strategies to secure voting rights during a period of both democratic expansion and restriction. Building directly on the previous lesson's exploration of African American strategies against Jim Crow, students analyze how suffragists invoked America's founding documents to argue for inclusion even as other groups faced increasing exclusion. Students examine the complex intersection between the suffrage and civil rights movements, particularly the tensions and collaborations following the 15th Amendment. Through primary source analysis, students understand how activists deployed multiple strategies — from the National Woman's Party's militant direct action to Black women's dual advocacy — while maintaining focus on their ultimate goal. This lesson connects to upcoming New Deal content by highlighting how organizing strategies developed during this movement influenced later advocacy.

Lesson 4: The New Deal and African Americans: Progress Despite Opposition

This lesson examines the complex relationship between the New Deal and African Americans during the Great Depression. Building on earlier lessons exploring how African Americans navigated Jim Crow through multiple strategies and how women built organizational networks to secure the 19th

Amendment, students analyze how these communities responded to unprecedented federal intervention in the 1930s. The New Deal created both opportunities (WPA employment, Black Cabinet leadership under Mary McLeod Bethune, women in New Deal administration like Frances Perkins and Eleanor Roosevelt) and obstacles (Social Security exclusions affecting domestic and agricultural workers, FHA housing discrimination, CCC segregation). Through analysis of three scholarly interpretations, students practice "both/and" historical thinking, recognizing that the same programs could simultaneously provide crucial relief and perpetuate structural inequalities.

Lesson 5: World War II: Unity and Division in American Democracy

Building on the examination of how the New Deal both helped and harmed different communities, this lesson explores how World War II created an even more complex paradox in American democracy. The same war that united Americans against fascism's threat to constitutional democracy also intensified divisions at home, as different groups experienced vastly different treatment despite their shared patriotism. African Americans embraced the Double V campaign for victory against fascism abroad and racism at home; Japanese Americans defended democracy despite internment camps; Hispanic Americans earned medals while facing discrimination; and Native Americans used languages the government had tried to eradicate to help win the war. Through examining primary sources and creating museum exhibits, students discover how different groups simultaneously experienced unity and division, invoking the same constitutional principles, especially the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments, to make radically different arguments about American democracy.

Lesson 6: Japanese American Incarceration: Constitutional Failure and Resistance

Building on the previous lesson's exploration of how WWII both united and divided America, this lesson examines the forced incarceration of 120,000 Japanese Americans — two-thirds of whom were U.S. citizens — as a constitutional crisis that reveals how racial prejudice can override constitutional protections during wartime. Students analyze how Japanese Americans used the very Constitution that failed to protect them as a framework for resistance, examining primary sources from internees like George Takei and Akiko Kurose, Supreme Court cases (Korematsu and Endo), and the contrasting treatment of Hawaiian Japanese Americans under martial law. Through the SLEW dialogue framework, students practice civil discourse while grappling with the tension between security and liberty, a question that resonates from the Alien and Sedition Acts of 1798 through today's debates about surveillance and privacy.

Lesson 7: Mexican American Servicemembers and the Zoot Suit Riots: Defending Democracy While Facing Racism

Mexican Americans defended democracy abroad while facing discrimination at home. Approximately 500,000 Mexican Americans served in the U.S. military, earning more Medal of Honor recipients per capita than any other ethnic group. These second and third-generation Americans defended democracy abroad while their families at home faced discrimination that questioned their very citizenship. Building on the exploration of Japanese American internment, this lesson examines how another group of established Americans — Mexican Americans, many whose families had been in the Southwest for generations before it became U.S. territory — faced wartime discrimination. Like Japanese Americans who used the courts, Mexican Americans employed both legal strategies and cultural resistance to assert their constitutional rights. The Chavez Ravine story shows how discrimination continued after the war, connecting to broader patterns of exclusion despite military service and patriotism.

Lesson 8: The Plinko Effect: How Shared Values Led to Strategic Diversity (Wrap-Up Part 1)

This lesson synthesizes learning from all seven Unit 6 lessons by introducing students to the "Plinko Effect" — a powerful framework for understanding how people with very similar core values can reach dramatically different strategic conclusions based on small differences in how they weight those values. Throughout this unit, students have examined how diverse groups (European immigrants, African Americans, women suffragists, Japanese Americans, and Mexican Americans) all fought for full American citizenship between 1914 and 1945, yet each group employed distinct strategies. Some groups emphasized formal institutional advocacy (constitutional litigation, legislative reform, electoral participation) while others emphasized public mobilization strategies (peaceful assembly, public persuasion, grassroots organizing) —and many used both approaches at different times. Using a Plinko board demonstration, students visualize how "small differences at the top create big differences at the bottom," understanding that strategic disagreements don't necessarily reflect different values but different judgments about which approaches/solutions will prove most effective.

Lesson 9: Strategic Complementarity: When Are Different Paths Both Right? (Wrap-Up Part 2)

Building directly on the Plinko Effect introduction, this lesson engages students in structured civil discourse about strategic choices during 1914-1945 while synthesizing all Unit 6 learning. Rather than forcing students into an artificial either/or debate, this lesson applies the "When Are Both Sides Right?" framework combined with the search for Hidden Third Options — civil discourse techniques that honor the complexity students have encountered throughout the unit. Students engage in carefully structured dialogue exploring which constitutional strategies were most effective in different contexts and how

different democratic tools complemented each other. Using the Civil Dialogue Template structure, students practice steel-manning, star-manning, and identifying common ground while drawing on rich historical examples from all Unit 6 lessons.

Unit 7: The Long Civil Rights Movement: Diverse Strategies for Justice and Equality

Unit Overview: Unit 7 examines how the civil rights movement transformed not just African American citizenship, but also opened pathways for all marginalized groups to claim full democratic inclusion. Students analyze how different groups used constitutional tools to fight for civil rights from 1945-2000 and discover how one movement's victories created tools others could use. Building on Unit 6's Double V Campaign, students analyze how WWII veterans and others transformed civil rights activism by invoking constitutional principles and strategies from Units 4 and 5. This unit explores competing civil rights strategies, the pivotal 1965 Immigration Act (passed alongside the Voting Rights Act), Hispanic American civic participation, Asian American coalition-building, Native American sovereignty movements, *Loving v. Virginia's* impact on multiracial families, and women's rights intersectionality. Throughout, students practice understanding how the same constitutional principles can support different strategies.

Lesson 1: The Civil Rights Movement: Competing Constitutional Strategies, 1945-1968

This lesson examines how different civil rights leaders used and interpreted constitutional tools to pursue justice, particularly the 14th and 15th Amendments discussed in Unit 5 and the First Amendment strategies introduced in Unit 4. Building on Unit 6's Double V Campaign, students analyze how WWII veterans and others transformed civil rights activism by invoking constitutional principles in different ways. Through examining Martin Luther King Jr.'s nonviolent direct action, Stokely Carmichael's Black Power emphasis on self-determination, Roy Wilkins's NAACP legal strategy, Malcolm X's questioning of whether the Constitution could ever truly protect Black Americans, and Ella Baker's grassroots organizing philosophy, students practice steel-manning different positions before evaluation. Despite their strategic differences, students discover that all these leaders shared fundamental American ideals; they disagreed about methods, not goals.

Lesson 2: The 1965 Immigration Act: A Turning Point in American History

This lesson serves as a pivotal bridge between the African American civil rights movement and subsequent movements for inclusion, demonstrating that the Immigration Act of 1965 was fundamentally civil rights legislation. Students discover that the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1965 was fundamentally civil rights legislation — passed in the same political moment as the Voting Rights Act — that ended decades of discriminatory national origin quotas explicitly designed to maintain white supremacy by favoring Western European immigration. By connecting the civil rights movement's constitutional victories to immigration reform, students see how movements build on each other's successes. The lesson examines how the Act transformed American demographics: pre-1965 immigration was 85% European; post-1965 immigration came primarily from Asia, Latin America, and Africa. Students also examine federal ethnic classification systems, analyzing both their administrative usefulness in tracking demographics and protecting against discrimination, and their limitations in capturing the rich diversity of immigrant experiences.

Lesson 3: Hispanic Americans: Continuity, Change, and Civic Participation (1945-2000)

Building on Lesson 1's exploration of African American civil rights strategies and Unit 6's examination of Mexican American wartime experiences during the Zoot Suit Riots, this lesson explores how Hispanic Americans navigated questions of cultural preservation and civic participation from 1945-2000. Just as African American leaders debated integration versus self-determination, Hispanic Americans developed diverse approaches to American civic life in the post-war era. Students use new civil discourse tools (the Perspective Spectrum, Values Archaeology, and Coalition Mapping) to understand three Hispanic American perspectives from the late 1990s. Unlike simplistic assimilation narratives, this lesson recognizes the diverse histories of Hispanic communities, from families present since before U.S. expansion to recent arrivals navigating new questions of belonging. Organizations like MALDEF, LULAC, and the American G.I. Forum demonstrate the range of advocacy strategies employed.

Lesson 4: *Loving v. Virginia* – Challenging Racial Boundaries Through Law and Love

Building on the exploration of African American and Hispanic American civil rights strategies, this lesson examines a case that challenged the very foundation of racial categories in America and struck down laws that had been used to maintain white supremacy through controlling marriage and reproduction. The Supreme Court's *Loving v. Virginia* decision (1967) occurred during both the Black Power movement and the Chicano Movement, a time when various groups were asserting distinct racial and ethnic identities. Yet this case, brought by a couple from rural Virginia, argued that love transcends racial boundaries altogether. Students analyze how legal strategy, constitutional principles (particularly the 14th Amendment's equal protection clause), and personal courage combined to overturn centuries of

law prohibiting interracial marriage. Through examining the decision's language and creating a historical timeline, students understand how *Loving* transformed not just marriage law but paved the way for how Americans think about racial identity itself — changes whose effects continue to unfold today, including the growth of multiracial Americans studied in Unit 1.

Lesson 5: Asian Americans and the 'Model Minority' Myth: Coalition and Division (1945-2000)

This lesson examines how Asian Americans navigated five decades of dramatic change from 1945-2000. Building on the previous lesson's exploration of Loving v. Virginia, which struck down laws that had specifically targeted Asian-white couples since the 1880s, students analyze how the "model minority" narrative emerged in 1966 as a tool to delegitimize Black civil rights demands and create wedges between communities of color. Through civil discourse tools, students examine this narrative's complex effects—how it masks real discrimination and economic disparities within diverse Asian American communities while suggesting racism can be overcome through hard work alone. Students explore coalitions and tensions between Asian Americans and other civil rights movements, from Third World Liberation Front solidarity to the 1992 Los Angeles uprising. The 1965 Immigration Act transformed Asian American communities, bringing new waves of immigrants who joined established families in navigating questions of identity, belonging, and civic participation while resisting being used as a "racial wedge" against other marginalized groups.

Lesson 6: A Native American Renaissance: Sovereignty, Identity, and Two Paths Forward

This lesson examines how Native Americans navigated post-1970 America through two major trends: efforts to strengthen tribal sovereignty and reservation communities (Trend A) and growing adaptation to American life by Native Americans living off-reservation (Trend B). Building on the previous lesson's examination of Asian American coalition strategies, students explore how Native Americans' unique status as members of sovereign tribal nations created both distinct challenges and opportunities. The Indian Self-Determination Act of 1975 marked a shift from forced assimilation to tribal self-governance, enabling communities to control their own schools, health services, and economic development. Students use civil discourse tools (including steel-manning, star-manning, and "When Are Both Sides Right?" framework) to move beyond binary thinking and understand how both trends worked together to advance Native American progress. This "Indian Renaissance" demonstrated multiple paths to cultural continuity and political influence.

Lesson 7: The Women's Rights Movement and Intersectionality

This lesson examines the Women's Rights Movement (1945-2000) through an intersectional lens, analyzing how women of color feminists challenged white-centered feminism and developed different strategies to pursue equality. Building on previous lessons about civil rights strategies, students explore how women's rights activists used constitutional principles, particularly the 14th Amendment's equal protection clause and civil rights legislation, to advance equality. The lesson emphasizes strategic diversity within the movement, examining tensions between different feminist perspectives: liberal feminism's focus on legal equality, radical feminism's challenge to patriarchal structures, and women of color feminists' need to address multiple, interlocking oppressions. Students analyze how race, class, and ethnicity shaped women's experiences and activism, connecting this to broader Unit 7 themes about rights expansion and constitutional interpretation.

Lesson 8: Constitutional Strategies for Change (Wrap-Up Part 1)

Students synthesize their learning about different constitutional strategies for achieving social and political change throughout American history. By analyzing the Constitutional Strategies Comparison Chart, they identify patterns in how groups have worked within, around, or against constitutional frameworks to pursue their goals: grassroots organizing through community mobilization, judicial review through court cases, civil disobedience through principled law-breaking, and legislative reform through laws and amendments. This lesson prepares students to engage in the next lesson's civil discourse about which constitutional strategies are most effective and ethical in different contexts. Students complete synthesis reflections connecting Unit 7's lessons to the broader Garrison-Douglass debate from Unit 4: When is the constitutional system reformable through strategic engagement, and when must it be challenged from outside?

Lesson 9: Constitutional Strategies Summit (Wrap-Up Part 2)

In this culminating civil discourse exercise, students participate in a "Constitutional Strategies Summit" where they must advocate for different approaches to achieving social change. Through structured dialogue, steel-manning, and star-manning exercises, students explore how various constitutional strategies can complement rather than compete with each other. Groups represent different approaches — judicial strategy, legislative reform, executive action, grassroots organizing, civil disobedience, and constitutional amendment — and work to design combined approaches for contemporary scenarios. This lesson demonstrates that effective change often requires strategic pluralism — using multiple approaches tailored to specific contexts and goals. The Civil Rights Movement's success came precisely from combining all these strategies, not choosing among them.

Unit 8: Race and Identity in Contemporary America, 2000-Present

Unit Overview: Unit 8 brings students into contemporary debates about race, gender, class, and immigration in America. Building directly on Unit 7's civil discourse skills and civil rights strategies, students examine how African American, Hispanic American, Asian American, Native American, European American, and Multiracial American communities have experienced both progress and persistent challenges since the civil rights era. The unit introduces six philosophical frameworks for understanding race (Colorblindness, Race Transcendence, Racelessness, Race Pride, Race-Conscious, Class-Based), examines contemporary policy debates, explores third and fourth wave feminism's emphasis on labor organizing, and culminates in a three-lesson immigration arc featuring a DACA stakeholder simulation. Throughout, students discover that people who disagree often aren't arguing about facts but rather prioritizing different legitimate values.

Lesson 1: Progress and Persistent Inequality: Communities of Color Navigate Contemporary America

This lesson introduces Unit 8 by examining how structural barriers shaped by historical exclusion continue to produce measurable disparities even as legal discrimination has ended. Through personal narratives, case studies, and supporting data, students explore how African American, Hispanic American, Asian American, Native American, European American, and Multiracial American communities navigate contemporary America. The lesson emphasizes that understanding contemporary America requires recognizing both the gains achieved through civil rights movements and how structural racism continues to produce measurable racial inequalities in wealth, housing, education, and criminal justice. The lesson also examines how some challenges follow racial lines while others cut across racial groups, and that significant diversity exists within each demographic category. Students consider why thoughtful people might interpret these experiences differently, setting the stage for Lesson 3's exploration of six analytical frameworks for understanding race, class, and identity.

Lesson 2: America's Expanding Diversity: Religion, Ethnicity, and Identity

Building on Lesson 1's exploration of how six racial/ethnic communities have experienced both progress and persistent challenges since the civil rights era, this lesson extends that framework to religious diversity. Students discover that the same dual reality — remarkable achievement alongside ongoing discrimination — characterizes the experience of America's religious minorities as well. Students explore how Jewish Americans, whose identity combines religious, ethnic, cultural, and ancestral dimensions, have achieved extraordinary success while simultaneously facing surging antisemitism. Students examine how Muslim Americans, the most ethnically diverse religious community in America, have built thriving institutions while navigating post-9/11 discrimination and the "racialization" of their religious

identity. Through this exploration, students confront a fundamental truth about American pluralism: our diversity is extraordinary, and navigating it is genuinely hard.

Lesson 3: Six Frameworks for Understanding Race in America

In Lessons 1-2, students explored diverse American experiences shaped by both historical exclusion and contemporary inequality, encountering a puzzle: why do thoughtful, well-informed people interpret the same experiences and data about racial inequality so differently? This lesson introduces the answer: philosophical frameworks. Students explore six philosophical approaches to race: Colorblindness, Race Transcendence, Racelessness, Race Pride, Race-Conscious approaches, and Class-Based frameworks. Students learn what each framework claims and then apply each to racial identity debates. By analyzing how each framework approaches contemporary scenarios, students discover that people who disagree often aren't arguing about facts but prioritizing different legitimate values, and that different starting assumptions can lead to different conclusions. This lesson emphasizes that these frameworks represent different theories about how to achieve shared goals of justice and equality, giving students powerful tools for understanding contemporary debates and engaging in civil discourse with people who see the world differently.

Lesson 4: Policy Debates Over Systemic Racism: Affirmative Action, Reparations, and Mass Incarceration

Students apply the six frameworks from the previous lesson to contemporary policy debates designed to address the ongoing effects of systemic racism and racial inequality: affirmative action in higher education admissions, proposals for reparations for slavery and Jim Crow, and mass incarceration's disproportionate impact on communities of color. Rather than declaring which policies are "correct," students analyze how different frameworks lead to different policy positions for addressing structural racism and its ongoing effects, and practice engaging respectfully with positions they may personally disagree with. The lesson emphasizes that most policy debates involve competing goods — legitimate but conflicting values — requiring civil discourse rather than simple right/wrong judgments. The goal is civic understanding: preparing students to engage thoughtfully with policy debates they will encounter as citizens, using evidence-based reasoning and civil discourse skills.

Lesson 5: Economic Justice and the Racial Wealth Gap: Class Across Communities of Color

Building on Lesson 4's application of philosophical frameworks to policy debates, this lesson takes a deeper dive into economic opportunity, examining the intersection of race, class, individual agency, and cultural factors with particular attention to the racial wealth gap — the most persistent indicator of structural racism's economic effects. Students analyze wealth gap data, examining how slavery, Jim Crow, redlining, and ongoing discrimination created and maintain racial wealth disparities, and

evaluating race-conscious, colorblind, class-based, and agency-focused approaches to expanding opportunity. The lesson emphasizes that both structural factors AND individual choices matter, introducing Ian Rowe's success sequence research and scholars like Amy Chua and Thomas Sowell on cultural capital. A case study of Asian American economic success illustrates both pathways to mobility and important nuances about within-group diversity. Gender dimensions of economic inequality are introduced here and explored in depth in Lesson 6.

Lesson 6: Third and Fourth Wave Feminism: Labor, Pay Equity, and Coalition Building

Students examine how feminist movements evolved to emphasize labor organizing and intersectional analysis. In Lesson 5, students examined economic inequality through the lens of race and class and touched on a critical insight: gender compounds these disparities. Building on Unit 7's exploration of second-wave feminism, this lesson explores why economic justice and pay equity became central to contemporary feminism. The lesson features three key voices who put this insight into practice: Taiwanese American Ai-jen Poo, whose National Domestic Workers Alliance organized Filipino and Latina caregivers around shared workplace concerns; Dolores Huerta, whose continued advocacy extends the labor feminism introduced in Unit 7; and African American Tarana Burke, whose #MeToo movement originally focused on working-class women of color facing workplace harassment. The lesson prepares students for the immigration unit by demonstrating how contemporary movements increasingly emphasize coalition-building across identity categories, recognizing that different forms of oppression are interconnected.

Lessons 7: America's Immigrants: Historical Patterns, Contemporary Questions

This lesson launches Unit 8's three-lesson immigration arc by helping students recognize that today's immigration debates echo tensions Americans have grappled with since the colonial era. Building on immigration content students studied throughout the course —f rom colonial diversity (Unit 3) through Progressive Era restrictions (Unit 6) to the 1965 Immigration Act (Unit 7) —this lesson introduces a "recurring tensions" framework: (1) Welcome vs. Suspicion, (2) Economic Contributions vs. Competition, (3) Assimilation vs. Cultural Preservation, (4) Who Belongs?, and (5) Established vs. New Arrivals. The lesson bridges Lesson 6's focus on immigrant women workers to broader questions about immigration policy, preparing students for Lesson 8's analytical frameworks and Lesson 9's DACA stakeholder simulation. Throughout, students practice competing goods analysis and steel-manning, connecting contemporary debates to historical patterns.

Lesson 8: Contemporary Immigration Frameworks and Constituencies

In Lesson 7, students identified five recurring tensions that have shaped immigration debates throughout American history. This lesson shifts from identifying what the tensions are to understanding why people reach different conclusions about them. Students learn four analytical frameworks

(Economic, Humanitarian, Rule of Law, and Assimilationist/Multiculturalist) that help explain how different values lead to different policy positions. Students also learn about key constituencies in the immigration debate and how their life circumstances shape which frameworks they prioritize. The lesson builds directly on Lesson 7's foundation and prepares students for Lesson 9's DACA stakeholder simulation. Throughout, students continue practicing civil discourse skills by recognizing that disagreements often stem from different priorities and values rather than factual disputes.

Lesson 9: DACA, Dreamers, and the Question of Belonging

This lesson serves as the capstone of Unit 8's three-lesson immigration arc. Students apply everything they've learned —recurring tensions, analytical frameworks, civil discourse skills, and stakeholder analysis — to a structured simulation focused on DACA (Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals) policy. DACA represents an ideal case study because it concentrates multiple tensions into a single, concrete policy question: What should happen to people who were brought to the United States as children without documentation, grew up as Americans, and now face uncertain legal status? The simulation places students in the roles of five stakeholder groups (Dreamers/DACA Recipients, Business Coalition, Education Advocates, Legal Immigrants, and Rule of Law Advocates), each with legitimate concerns and distinct framework priorities. Through group discussion and negotiation and collaborative problem-solving, students practice finding common ground while representing positions they may not personally hold. The lesson culminates in a synthesis discussion addressing the unit's central question: Who belongs in "We the People"?

Lesson 10: When Frameworks Intersect

Throughout Unit 8, students explored three major dimensions of contemporary American identity: race and class (Lessons 1-5), gender and feminism (Lesson 6), and immigration (Lessons 7-9). Each section introduced its own analytical frameworks. But in the real world, these dimensions don't exist in isolation. A DACA recipient working as a domestic caregiver experiences the intersections of immigration status, gender, class, and often race simultaneously. An African American woman facing workplace discrimination navigates both racial and gender frameworks. This lesson asks students to synthesize their learning by applying multiple frameworks to a single case study — recognizing where frameworks complement each other, where they conflict, and where they reveal unexpected common ground. By the end, students see how the unit's central question — "Who belongs in 'We the People'?" — applies not just to immigration but to all three sections, and how class-based approaches appeared as a unifying thread throughout.

Lesson 11: Coalition Building Across Difference

In Lesson 10, students analyzed how frameworks from Unit 8's three sections interact when applied to a single individual's experience. This lesson moves from analysis to application. Students participate in a

cross-cutting simulation that requires them to represent stakeholders from across Unit 8's three domains — race, gender, and immigration — all converging on a contemporary issue: Essential Workers and Economic Security. The simulation centers on a policy debate that emerged prominently during the COVID-19 pandemic: How should American society value, protect, and compensate "essential workers": caregivers, food processors, agricultural workers, delivery drivers, and retail employees who kept society functioning during the crisis? This issue sits at the intersection of race (essential workers are disproportionately people of color), gender (care work is disproportionately done by women), class (essential workers often earn low-wages), and immigration (many essential workers are immigrants). Through group discussion and negotiation and collaborative problem-solving, students work toward policy recommendations that honor multiple legitimate concerns, demonstrating their mastery of coalition-building skills.

Unit 9: Building Multiracial Solidarity: Digital Democracy and Multiracial Coalition-Building (Capstone)

Unit Overview: This lesson launches the Capstone Unit by connecting students' extensive work on civil discourse, identity, and American diversity — including how racial hierarchies, economic inequality, and systematic exclusion have shaped who participates in democratic dialogue — to contemporary challenges posed by technology and social media. Students examine how digital platforms affect discourse, practice applying techniques in digital contexts, and complete individual projects demonstrating their ability to engage constructively across difference. The unit asks: How can the historical lessons of bridge-building across difference be applied to digital spaces where algorithms often amplify division? Students examine how technology can exploit human vulnerabilities, practice civil discourse in digital spaces using AI-powered platforms and develop final projects that apply their learning to real-world challenges. Project options include Platform Design, Technology Audit, and Policy Brief. Throughout, students draw on the diverse bridge-builders they've studied across American history.

Lesson 1: The Challenges of Democratic Dialogue in a Society of Technological Algorithms

This lesson launches the Capstone Unit by connecting students' extensive work on civil discourse, identity, and American diversity — including how racial hierarchies, economic inequality, and systematic exclusion have shaped who participates in democratic dialogue — to contemporary challenges posed by

technology and social media The Capstone asks: How can the historical lessons of bridge-building across difference be applied to digital spaces where algorithms often amplify division? Through examining videos from AI researchers Yoshua Bengio and Tristan Harris, students understand how social media platforms exploit psychological vulnerabilities and create communication challenges. Students are framed as "expert users" whose lived experience with social media provides valuable insights, establishing that their platform knowledge is legitimate expertise that will inform their final projects.

Lesson 2: From Polarization to Coalition: Building Multiracial Democracy

Building directly on Lesson 1's exploration of how technology can exploit human vulnerabilities and amplify division, students now move from analysis to practice. Using SWAY, an AI-powered civil discourse platform, students experience firsthand how technology can either support or hinder democratic dialogue. By practicing structured conversations on challenging topics, students connect historical patterns of communication success and failure to contemporary digital contexts. Students continue their role as "expert users" whose platform experience provides valuable insights. They analyze their SWAY conversations through the lens of character strengths introduced in Unit 1 and refined throughout the curriculum, identifying which strengths facilitate bridge-building across difference. The lesson culminates in a collaborative investigation that prepares students for project planning in the next lesson.

Lesson 3: Finding Common Ground and Project Planning

This lesson transitions students from practicing civil discourse to applying their learning through capstone project planning. Building on Lesson 2's SWAY conversations and historical investigations, students now identify the specific technological problems they want to address and select their project approach. The lesson emphasizes finding common ground — the shared values and goals that bridge-builders throughout American history have used to connect across difference. Students translate historical bridge-building strategies into digital contexts, drawing from the diverse "Bridge-Building Hall of Fame" that spans Native American diplomats, Hispanic civic leaders, abolitionists, suffragists, and civil rights organizers. Students select a Historical Mentor whose approach will guide their project development. By the end, students will have chosen their project type (Platform Design, Technology Audit, or Policy Brief), identified their specific focus, and begun detailed planning.

Lesson 4: Expert User Project Development Workshop

This lesson moves students from project planning to active development. Building on the detailed planning completed in Lesson 3, students now begin translating their ideas into concrete project components. The lesson is structured as a workshop, with project-type specific mini-sessions that help students leverage their digital expertise while integrating historical bridge-building strategies. Students

continue to be framed as “expert users” whose platform experience provides legitimate research data for their projects. The lesson addresses potential imposter syndrome by emphasizing that real UX designers, policy analysts, and technology auditors regularly consult users like them. By the end of this lesson, students will have made significant progress on their projects and established peer consultation partnerships for ongoing feedback.

Lesson 5: First Draft Development and Historical Integration

This lesson focuses on moving students toward completion of their first drafts while ensuring strong integration of both historical learning and digital expertise. Building on Lesson 4’s workshop activities, students now have substantial project foundations. The lesson helps them refine their work, deepen historical connections, and prepare for peer review. Emphasis is placed on quality integration of historical examples rather than superficial name-dropping. Students consult their Historical Mentors to evaluate their progress and identify areas for improvement. By the end of this lesson, students will have completed or nearly completed first drafts that demonstrate meaningful connections between their platform expertise, historical bridge-building strategies, and character strengths developed throughout the course.

Lesson 6: Expert Peer Review and Project Refinement

This lesson applies the civil discourse skills students have developed throughout the course to academic peer review. Students are framed as expert consultants reviewing work from fellow digital communication specialists. Each student brings unique platform expertise that provides valuable perspective on their peers’ projects. The peer review process evaluates both digital communication insights and historical applications. Students practice giving constructive feedback that helps peers recognize and strengthen their expert insights. By the end of this lesson, students will have received meaningful feedback and developed revision priorities for their final projects. The lesson demonstrates that civil discourse isn’t just for political disagreements’ it’s a set of skills that enables productive collaboration across any domain where people bring different perspectives and expertise.

The Johns Hopkins School of Education Assessment

The Johns Hopkins School of Education conducted a rigorous, independent evaluation of the *Many Stories, One Nation* curriculum through two comprehensive reports: a Knowledge Map™ analysis and a Standards Alignment review.

Quality Assessment Findings

High-Quality Materials: Nine of ten units scored in the "high quality" range (75%+), with Unit 2 (Founding Principles) achieving the second-highest score at 85.95%. The curriculum demonstrates "a clear focus on including high-quality resources for its students."

Excellent Source Balance: The curriculum "strikes an excellent balance between primary and secondary sources," providing students access to historical documents, government records, and letters alongside contextual secondary materials.

Strong Civil Discourse Framework: The curriculum scored 2.7 out of 3 for Open Classroom Climate and 2.6 out of 3 for Multiple Perspectives (2.5+ is considered "high"). The Civil Discourse and "When Are Both Sides Right?" frameworks "encourage an environment in which students value active listening, civility, and the consideration of multiple perspectives."

Balanced Representation: The curriculum showcases "a wide variety of stories across its units," with approximately 50% of resources addressing discrimination and structural inequality, while the remaining 50% focus on "stories of perseverance, change, and culture."

Standards Alignment Findings

California: The curriculum "fully meets all of California's Ethnic Standards" and supports many U.S. History standards, serving as "a strong supplemental resource" that enriches history courses "particularly from an ethnic studies perspective."

The curriculum aligns with California's Model Ethnic Studies Curriculum Guidelines (2021), CA HSS Analysis Skills (9–12), CA CCSS Writing Standards WHST 1-10, CA CCSS Reading Standards RH 1-10, CA CCSS Speaking and Listening Standards SL 1-10, and CA ELD Standards.

Oregon: The curriculum fully or partially met 43 of 51 Oregon standards. As an elective course, it "not only supports many of the standards for both states but also presents clear connections to required history courses with which teachers are already familiar."

About the Curriculum Development Team

With its combined expertise in education, curriculum development, and educational leadership, FAIR has created something truly unique in today's polarized landscape: an Ethnic Studies course that neither minimizes America's struggles nor focuses exclusively on its failures, but instead offers students a path to understanding our shared story with honesty and hope.

Monica Harris – Executive Director

Monica Harris serves as Executive Director of FAIR and provides visionary direction for curriculum development. A graduate of Princeton University and Harvard Law School, she is the author of The Illusion of Division and a TEDx speaker who advocates for balanced, common-sense solutions to systemic problems based on our shared values and goals. Under her leadership, FAIR has developed the *Many Stories, One Nation* curriculum as a balanced and positive alternative that honors America's complexity while building the civil discourse skills essential for democratic participation.

Lisa Gilbert – Lead Curriculum Developer

Lisa A. Gilbert, MPH, MA, serves as Lead Curriculum Developer for the curriculum, managing final lesson development in collaboration with Johns Hopkins University School of Education. She holds a Master of Public Health in Health Education from UCLA and brings over 25 years of experience developing evidence-based educational programs for adolescents and youth serving professionals. Her curriculum development work has spanned violence and substance abuse prevention, adolescent health, and youth advocacy, including state-funded projects recognized at national public health conferences. She specializes in instructional design and creating developmentally appropriate materials that engage students in meaningful learning.

Dr. Adam Seagrave – Curriculum Content Developer & Trainer

As Associate Professor of Civic and Economic Thought and Leadership at Arizona State University, Dr. Seagrave brings profound scholarly expertise to FAIR's curriculum. Co-author of Race and the American Story (Oxford University Press, 2024) and recipient of the American Legion National Education Award for achievements in K-12 civics education, he has dedicated his career to helping students understand the complex relationship between American ideals and historical realities. Dr. Seagrave's leadership on the Educating for American Democracy project and extensive work with K-12 educators ensures FAIR's curriculum is both academically rigorous and classroom-ready.

Dr. David Ferrero – Curriculum Content Developer & Trainer

As an independent education consultant specializing in school redesign, Dr. Ferrero brings a unique perspective on educational transformation to the FAIR curriculum. With teaching experience at the Universities of Michigan, Washington, and Drexel, plus a background in journalism and high school teaching, he understands education from multiple vantage points. His scholarly focus on the philosophical foundations of education in pluralistic societies makes him ideally suited to develop a curriculum that respects diverse perspectives while seeking common ground. As a FAIR in Education Fellow, Dr. Ferrero contributes original lesson content and ensures that our curriculum provides positive alternatives to divisive approaches.

Jonathan Burack – Curriculum Content Developer

With over three decades developing history materials and conducting teacher workshops nationwide, Jonathan Burack brings unparalleled curriculum expertise to the team. A Harvard graduate with an

M.A.T. degree, Jonathan created the acclaimed MindSparks history materials, focusing on primary source interpretation and historical thinking skills. His development of programs like History Unfolding and Debating the Documents has shaped how countless students engage with historical materials. Jonathan's deep experience teaching in diverse school settings and his lifelong fascination with America's complex past informs many lessons in FAIR's curriculum, from the immigrant experience to the impact of world wars on American culture and identity.

Dr. Kobi Nelson – Curriculum Content Developer

With a Ph.D. in Education and Human Development and two decades of educational experience, Dr. Nelson brings classroom-tested expertise to the Capstone portion of FAIR's curriculum. Her diverse background includes teaching graduate seminar courses in secondary reading instruction, serving as a site professor for prospective teachers, teaching English Language Arts, working with multilingual learners, and facilitating professional learning communities. Dr. Nelson's talent for developing dynamic curriculum materials and her deep understanding of student engagement and diverse learning needs were instrumental in creating a culminating project that will resonate with both teachers and students.

Jefferson Shupe – Civil Discourse Framework Contributor

The civil discourse methodology at the heart of this curriculum owes a significant debt to Jefferson Shupe, FAIR Chapter Leader in Utah and State Coordinator for Braver Angels. Jefferson contributed the SLEW Framework (Surprise, Learn, Engage, Win) and other civil dialogue techniques that appear throughout the curriculum. Author of The Bathwater Brigade - a young adult novel about students from opposing perspectives finding common ground - Jefferson's practical experience facilitating difficult conversations and his commitment to teaching young people how to engage across difference have profoundly shaped this curriculum's approach to progressive skill-building in civil discourse.

Dr. Ashley Rogers Berner – Academic Standards Guardian

As Deputy Director of the Johns Hopkins Institute for Education Policy and Associate Professor in the Johns Hopkins School of Education, Dr. Berner leads a team of reviewers ensuring that FAIR's curriculum meets the highest academic standards. Her role as former Co-director of Moral Foundations of Education at the Institute for Advanced Studies in Culture brings valuable perspective on ethical dimensions of education. The rigorous review process led by Dr. Berner guarantees that FAIR's curriculum satisfies educational standards for both American Social Studies and Ethnic Studies.

Special Acknowledgements

FAIR gratefully acknowledges the volunteer reviewers from FAIR Chapters across the United States who generously contributed their time and expertise to strengthen this curriculum. Parents, teachers, and education professionals from our nationwide network of local chapters reviewed draft lessons and provided valuable feedback - bringing diverse perspectives from communities spanning California and Oregon to Colorado, Connecticut, Minnesota, and North Carolina.

Their practical insights about classroom implementation, student engagement, and community concerns helped ensure that the *Many Stories, One Nation* curriculum would resonate with educators and families across varied educational contexts. This grassroots collaboration reflects FAIR's commitment to building a common culture based on fairness, understanding, and humanity.

Special thanks to Danele Rhoads, Fair ’s Chapter Coordinator and Chief Operating Officer, who facilitated and coordinated reviewer input throughout the development process, ensuring that community voices were heard and meaningfully integrated into the final curriculum. Danele also coordinated the inclusion of SWAY - an AI-powered civil discourse platform, identified partners and resources, and managed many important logistics that were instrumental in completing this curriculum.

Appendix: Civil Discourse Techniques

The following civil discourse techniques are introduced progressively throughout the curriculum, beginning with foundation skills in Unit 1 and building to advanced applications in later units and wrap-up sessions.

Section 1: Foundation Techniques

Steel-Manning

Steel-manning is the opposite of straw-manning. Instead of presenting a weak, distorted version of a different argument (straw-manning), you present the STRONGEST possible version of that argument. A strong steel-man: presents the argument better than the original person might have, shows internal logic and reasoning, identifies valid concerns and values, explains why intelligent people would choose this approach, and avoids undermining language. Students practice articulating different positions until the other side says, “Yes, that's exactly right!”

Star-Manning

Star-manning builds upon steel-manning by acknowledging the good intentions and shared values behind a position different from your own. It recognizes the humanity of the person, not just their argument. While steel-manning focuses on the argument's logic, star-manning focuses on the person's motivations. Students practice identifying the positive intentions behind positions different from their assigned ones, using language like "I can see that you value..." or "I appreciate that you're concerned about..." The key reminder: "People aren't their positions."

Active Listening

Active listening involves fully concentrating on what is being said rather than passively hearing the message or planning a response. It includes both understanding the content and acknowledging the

feelings being expressed. Key techniques include: maintaining eye contact, avoiding interruptions, paraphrasing what was heard before responding, asking clarifying questions, reflecting feelings ("It sounds like this is really important to you"), and minimizing distractions. The curriculum uses exercises like the "Paraphrasing Circle" where students must accurately paraphrase before responding.

Distinguishing Civility from Politeness

Understanding that civility isn't just about avoiding offense (politeness) but rather engaging honestly while maintaining respect when discussing different perspectives. Politeness = avoiding offense; Civility = honest respect even when perspectives differ. This distinction helps students understand that challenging ideas (with civility) is not the same as attacking the person. Sometimes politeness can actually prevent honest discourse by avoiding necessary but uncomfortable conversations.

Section 2: Advanced Perspective-Taking Frameworks

SLEW Framework

A comprehensive approach for engaging with different viewpoints consisting of four steps:

S - SURPRISE: Start by disarming your conversational partner. Ask genuine questions showing interest. Freely acknowledge what you don't know. Challenge stereotypes by mentioning something you don't agree with from "your side." Create a space where they feel genuinely heard.

L - LEARN: Take time to understand their position. Use steel-manning and star-manning. Be curious about their worldview. Examine your own position from their perspective. Look for nuances rather than simplistic positions. Check for logical fallacies in both their reasoning and your own.

E - ENGAGE: Be humble rather than overconfident. Focus on what you're FOR, not just what you're AGAINST. Validate parts of their position where possible. Listen attentively rather than just planning your response. Model the respectful engagement you hope to receive. Avoid using labels that oversimplify positions.

W - WIN: Redefine what "winning" means: having an honest, respectful conversation. Build understanding, not defeat the other person. Look for common ground. Let them reach their own conclusions. Be open to shifting your own position. Know when to end an unproductive conversation. Plant seeds for future thought.

Swap Variables Technique

Testing if positions are principled by changing actors or circumstances. This technique helps identify whether a position is based on principle or personal benefit. Example: "Athletes should get priority registration" → Swap: "Would you feel the same if debate team got priority instead?" This isn't about

"gotchas" but about testing the consistency of principles. Students apply this to historical examples: "What if Group X had used Group Y's approach?"

Historical Empathy

Understanding both what people in the past believed AND why they believed it, given their context, without imposing present-day values (avoiding "presentism"). Rather than asking "Was this right or wrong?" students ask "Why might this have made sense at the time?" This involves identifying the specific historical context that shaped decisions, distinguishing between understanding historical viewpoints and endorsing them, and connecting to primary sources that reveal the thinking of the time period.

Section 3: Critical Thinking Tools

Logical Fallacy Identification

Teaching students to recognize and avoid common errors in reasoning. The curriculum emphasizes five essential fallacies:

Straw Man: Misrepresenting someone's argument to make it easier to attack. Ad Hominem: Attacking the person instead of addressing their argument. False Dichotomy: Presenting only two choices when more options exist.

Appeal to Popularity: Assuming something is good because many people like it.

Slippery Slope: Claiming one small step will lead to a chain of negative events. Additional fallacies covered include Red Herring, Tu Quoque (Whataboutism), Hasty Generalization, Circular Reasoning, and Appeal to Emotion.

Competing Goods Framework

Recognizing that most public controversies involve legitimate but conflicting values rather than simple right versus wrong. Security and liberty, equality and freedom, individual rights and

community welfare - these are all genuine goods that can conflict with each other. Understanding that opponents often defend legitimate values (rather than being ignorant or malicious) transforms how students approach disagreement. Instead of viewing opponents as misguided, they learn to recognize the legitimate concerns underlying different positions.

Hidden Third Options

Moving beyond binary "either/or" thinking to find creative solutions that expand opportunities rather than just redistributing them. This approach looks for solutions that benefit multiple perspectives in

unexpected ways. Framework: "Traditional Option A, Traditional Option B, Hidden Option C." Students practice with historical examples ("What third option might have prevented conflict?") and apply to classroom situations ("How might we address both perspectives?"). This technique is particularly valuable when both positions in a debate contain legitimate concerns.

"When Are Both Sides Right?" Framework

Instead of asking "which side is right?" this framework asks "when is each side right?" It recognizes that different perspectives may both be valid in different contexts or circumstances. Students frame discussions with "when" questions instead of "which" questions, identify contexts where different approaches might work best, and create T-charts with "Times when Approach A works best" and "Times when Approach B works best." This helps students move beyond "either/or" thinking to "both/and" understanding.

The Plinko Effect

A visual demonstration showing how small differences in starting values can lead to dramatically different outcomes after many decision points. Using a Plinko board (physical or digital), students see how "balls starting in nearly the same place can end up in very different slots. Small differences at the top create big differences at the bottom." This helps students understand how people with very similar core values might reach dramatically different strategic conclusions based on small differences in how they weight those values. For example, Garrison and Douglass both valued ending slavery but weighted urgency vs. effectiveness differently, leading to different strategic approaches. This framework reduces polarization by helping students see that people they disagree with often share many of their core values.

Theory Deconstruction

Breaking complex ideas into components for separate evaluation rather than accepting or rejecting them wholesale. Students present multi-part theories or complex arguments, evaluate each component separately, and ask "Which parts of this theory seem more/less valid?" This allows for nuanced thinking and partial agreement. For example, examining the Cherokee "Civilization Strategy" by breaking down which parts proved valid and which didn't, rather than simply judging the entire strategy as right or wrong.

Strategic Complementarity

Understanding how apparently competing strategies can actually work together, serving different needs at different times or for different purposes. Students examine groups with shared goals using different strategies and ask "How might these different approaches work together?" Using "both/and" thinking instead of "either/or," students identify how different tactics address different barriers or serve different needs. This technique is central to understanding how the Civil Rights Movement succeeded by combining legal challenges, direct action, grassroots organizing, and legislative advocacy.

Section 4: Structured Discussion Formats

Civil Dialogue Template

A comprehensive two-part structure guiding students through preparation and discussion:

Part 1 - Preparation: Understanding the Issue (research key facts), Identifying Your Position (clarify perspective and reasoning), Understanding Others (anticipate different arguments), Steel-Manning Practice (develop strongest opposing arguments), Star-Manning Practice (identify good intentions behind different positions), Finding Common Ground (consider shared values across positions).

Part 2 - Discussion Structure: Opening Statements (2-3 minutes each), Clarifying Questions (to understand, not challenge), Steel-Manning Round (present steel-manned versions of others' positions), Dialogue (structured back-and-forth with active listening), Synthesis (identify agreements and remaining differences), Reflection (what was learned, which skills were challenging, how to apply insights).

Strategic Response Forum

A specialized civil discourse format focused on exploring how different strategies could complement rather than compete. Students are assigned to represent different strategic approaches to a common goal, with clear speaking roles and time limits. They must steel-man before engaging with a different position, focus on identifying complementary strategies rather than determining a "best" approach, and participate in a synthesis activity forming coalitions based on shared principles. This format is used particularly for understanding how different groups used constitutional principles to fight for rights.

Digital Civility and SWAY Integration

Understanding how civil discourse principles apply to digital communication, including recognizing when conversations should pause, acknowledging constraints of online communication (lack of tone and body language), and finding partial truths in heated debates. The Capstone Unit uses SWAY —

an AI-assisted chat platform — where students practice civil discourse with real-time guidance. After SWAY conversations, students complete reflection worksheets analyzing what made communication challenging, what went right or wrong, warning signs of breakdown, and new insights gained. This connects digital applications back to core civil discourse practices developed throughout the curriculum.

Section 5: Progressive Skill Development

The curriculum builds civil discourse skills progressively:

- Basic Skills (Unit 1): Active listening, distinguishing civility from politeness, steel-manning, star-manning
- Intermediate Skills (Units 2-3): Logical fallacy identification, competing goods framework, historical empathy
- Advanced Skills (Units 4-7): SLEW framework, swap variables, hidden third options, Plinko Effect, theory deconstruction
- Synthesis Skills (Unit Wrap-Ups): Strategic complementarity, "when are both sides right?" framework, coalition building

- Digital Application Skills (Capstone): Applying all skills to digital communication through SWAY platform, other platforms and capstone projects

Quick Reference Guide for Teachers

Foundation Skills Prompts:

•Steel-manning: "What is the strongest version of a position different from your assigned one?"

• Star-manning: "What good intentions might motivate someone to hold this position?" • Active listening: "Can you paraphrase what you just heard before responding?" • Logical fallacies: "Does this argument avoid the common fallacies we've learned?"

Advanced Techniques Prompts:

• SLEW framework: "Which phase of SLEW are we currently in? What should we do next?" • Swap variables: "Would this position be consistent if [different person/group] were involved?" • Hidden third options: "What's a solution that might address multiple perspectives?" • When are both right?: "In what circumstances might each approach be valid?" • Plinko Effect: "How might small differences in values lead to different strategic choices?" • Theory Deconstruction: "Which parts of this theory seem more/less valid?" • Strategic Complementarity: "How might these different approaches work together?"

Digital Discourse Prompts:

• SWAY reflection: "What did SWAY's intervention help you understand about constructive dialogue?"

• Digital civility: "How do digital communication constraints affect civil discourse?" • Platform analysis: "How does this platform's design help or hinder constructive dialogue?"

Remember: The goal is building understanding across different perspectives. Civil discourse skills take practice and time to develop, so be patient with students (and yourself) in this process.

Fair For All

For more information, contact:

Monica Harris, Executive Director

monica@fairforall.org

www.fairforall.org