# **Lesson 7: Teacher Resource for Logical Fallacies** & Analysis Skills

# **Five Essential Logical Fallacies**

#### 1. Ad Hominem

**Definition**: Attacking the person making the argument rather than addressing the argument itself

#### Why it's problematic:

- Irrelevant to the truth of the argument
- Shuts down productive discussion
- Often used when someone can't address the actual point
- People are not their positions (positions change with different info & experience)

## **Student-friendly examples:**

- "You can't trust Sarah's idea about the school schedule because she's always late"
- "Why should we listen to him about environmental issues when he drives an SUV?"

**How to address it**: Focus on the idea, not the person: "Let's look at the actual proposal about the schedule change..."

#### 2. Straw Man

**Definition**: Misrepresenting someone's position to make it easier to attack

#### Why it's problematic:

- Doesn't address the real argument
- Shows disrespect for the other person's actual position
- Prevents genuine engagement with ideas

#### **Student-friendly examples:**

- Original: "We should have healthier lunch options"
- Straw man: "So you want to ban all food that tastes good"
- Original: "We need better study spaces in the library"
- Straw man: "You think the current library is completely useless"

How to address it: Steel-man instead - present their argument in its strongest form

# 3. False Dichotomy (Either/Or)

**Definition**: Presenting only two options when more possibilities exist

## Why it's problematic:

- Oversimplifies complex issues
- Forces choices that may not be necessary
- Ignores creative solutions or middle ground

### **Student-friendly examples:**

- "Either we allow phones in class or students will never learn to focus"
- "You're either with us or against us"
- "We have to choose between academic excellence or student wellbeing"

**How to address it**: "What other options might we consider?" or "Are there ways to address both concerns?"

# 4. Appeal to Popularity (Bandwagon)

**Definition**: Arguing that something is true or right because many people believe it

#### Why it's problematic:

- Truth isn't determined by popularity
- Majority can be wrong
- Doesn't provide actual evidence for the claim

# **Student-friendly examples:**

- "Everyone cheats on this assignment, so it's okay"
- "All the popular kids dress this way, so you should too"
- "Most people believe this, so it must be true"

**How to address it**: "What evidence supports this position, regardless of how many people believe it?"

# 5. Slippery Slope

**Definition**: Arguing that one action will inevitably lead to extreme consequences without evidence

#### Why it's problematic:

- Assumes inevitable progression without proof
- Creates fear based on speculation
- Prevents consideration of reasonable policies

# **Student-friendly examples:**

- "If we allow students to retake tests, soon no one will study for anything"
- "If we start with a later start time, eventually school will be optional"
- "If we allow this dress code change, soon students will wear anything"

**How to address it**: "What evidence suggests this progression is inevitable?" or "How might we prevent negative outcomes while still making this change?"

# **Teaching Fallacies Effectively**

# **Sequenced Approach**

- 1. **Define clearly** with simple language
- 2. **Provide obvious examples** that students can easily identify
- 3. **Practice identification** with neutral examples
- 4. **Discuss how to respond** constructively
- 5. Apply to real situations students might encounter

# **Making It Relevant**

- Use school-based examples: Homework policies, dress codes, schedule changes
- Avoid political examples: Keep focus on reasoning skills, not partisan issues
- Connect to their experiences: Social media arguments, family discussions, peer conflicts

#### **Common Student Reactions**

## "But this person really is wrong/bad":

• Response: "That may be true, but attacking their character doesn't address whether their argument is sound"

#### "Everyone does believe this, so it must matter":

• Response: "Popular beliefs can be worth considering, but they need to be supported by evidence, not just by their popularity"

#### "But this really could lead to bad things":

• Response: "It might, but we need evidence that it will, and we can often prevent negative outcomes with good planning"

# **SLEW Framework Deep Dive**

# S - SURPRISE (Show you're not what they expect)

Purpose: Break down preconceptions and create openness

## **Specific techniques:**

• Ask genuine questions: "I'm curious about your perspective on..."

- Admit uncertainty: "I don't know much about this could you help me understand?"
- Show complexity: "I usually agree with [their side] but I have questions about..."
- Listen to frustrations: Let them express concerns without immediately responding
- **Avoid stereotyping**: Don't reduce them to a predictable category based on their position engage with their actual reasoning, not your assumptions about "people like them"

# **Student applications:**

- Family dinner conversations about school or social issues
- Disagreements with friends about plans or preferences
- Online discussions about topics they care about

# L - LEARN (Understand their view AND examine your own)

#### **Understanding Others**:

- **Steel-manning**: Present their strongest argument
- Star-manning: Acknowledge their good intentions
- Ask about experiences: "What led you to this view?"
- Look for internal logic: How do their beliefs connect?

**Examining Your Own Thinking**: This is where perspective-shifting techniques become crucial...

# **Perspective-Shifting Techniques**

#### **SWAP VARIABLES**

**Purpose**: Test if your position is based on principles or just personal benefit

How it works: Change key details and see if you still feel the same way

## **Examples for students:**

- "If you think athletes should get priority registration, would you feel the same if debate team got priority instead?"
- "If you support this rule when it benefits your group, would you support it if it benefited a group you don't like?"
- "If your favorite teacher proposed this policy vs. a teacher you dislike, would your reaction be the same?"

**Teaching tip**: Help students see this isn't about changing their minds, but about understanding their own reasoning

#### SWAP WHO'S TALKING

**Purpose**: Recognize how our reaction can depend on who's speaking rather than what's being said

#### **Examples**:

- "Would I support this same idea if it came from someone I disagree with politically?"
- "Am I rejecting this because of who said it rather than evaluating the idea itself?"

#### TEST EXTREME CASES

**Purpose**: See if your principle works in all situations or needs refinement

#### **Examples**:

- "If I believe students should have more freedom to choose classes, does that apply to eliminating all requirements, even basic math and English?"
- "If I support free speech in school, does that apply to all speech in all situations, or are there reasonable limits?"

**Teaching point**: This often helps students realize their positions are more nuanced than they initially thought

# E - ENGAGE & W - WIN (Redefine Success)

- Focus on understanding, not converting
- Look for common ground where possible
- Plant seeds for future reflection rather than expecting immediate change
- Success = respectful exchange, not "defeating" someone

# **Common Student Challenges**

"But I Am Being Logical!"

Student concern: They don't see their own fallacies

#### Response:

- "We all use these sometimes it's about becoming more aware"
- "The goal isn't perfection, but improvement in our reasoning"
- Practice with neutral examples first, then more personal ones

# "This Person Really Is Wrong Though"

Student concern: Fallacy identification feels like it protects bad arguments

# Response:

- "You can still disagree strongly these tools help you do it more effectively"
- "Addressing their strongest argument makes your response more powerful"
- "You maintain your integrity regardless of how others argue"

# "This Is Too Much Work for Simple Conversations"

Student concern: Techniques feel overly complicated

## Response:

- "Like any skill, it becomes natural with practice"
- "Start with one technique at a time"
- "These skills help in important conversations you don't need them for 'What's for lunch?"

# "This Person Really Fits the Stereotype Though"

**Student concern**: They want to dismiss someone's argument because the person seems to fit a predictable pattern.

# Response:

- "Even if someone seems to fit a stereotype, engage with their actual argument, not your assumptions about 'people like them'"
- "Remember: People aren't their positions they might surprise you with their reasoning"
- "Focus on what they're actually saying, not what you expect someone with their viewpoint to say"

# **Connecting to Previous Lessons**

# **Character Strengths Links**

- **Intellectual honesty**: Examining your own reasoning carefully
- **Humility**: Being willing to acknowledge when you might be wrong
- Fairness: Giving others' arguments their due consideration
- Wisdom: Using good judgment in reasoning and discussion

#### **Civil Discourse Foundation**

- Steel-manning: Directly connects to fallacy avoidance
- Perspective-taking: SLEW framework builds on earlier empathy discussions
- Respectful disagreement: Logical analysis serves civil discourse goals

# **Preparation for Lesson 8**

# **Skills Integration**

Students will need to:

- Avoid fallacies while making their own arguments
- **Identify fallacies** in others' arguments (gently)
- Use perspective-shifting to strengthen their positions
- Apply SLEW framework in real-time discussion

# **Setting Expectations**

- "Tomorrow you'll put all these skills together in actual discussion"
- "It will feel challenging at first that's normal and expected"
- "Focus on applying one or two techniques well rather than trying to use everything"

# **Assessment Opportunities**

# **During Fallacy Practice**

- Accurate identification of fallacies in examples
- Clear explanations of why each fallacy is problematic
- Constructive suggestions for how to reframe arguments

# **During SLEW Practice**

- **Genuine curiosity** in perspective-shifting exercises
- Thoughtful application of swap variables technique
- **Recognition** of their own potential biases or assumptions

# **Homework Review**

- Real-world examples of fallacies with accurate analysis
- **Personal reflection** on perspective-shifting exercise
- Evidence of applying techniques to their own beliefs