Lesson 6: Teacher Resource Sheet - From Pluralism to Civil Discourse Foundations

Core Civil Discourse Concepts

Civil Discourse Definition

Civil Discourse: Respectful exchange of ideas, especially when disagreeing

- Not just being polite or avoiding conflict
- Engaging honestly with different viewpoints
- Maintaining respect for persons while challenging ideas
- Seeking understanding rather than just winning

Key Distinctions to Teach

Civility vs. Politeness:

- Politeness: Avoiding offense, maintaining surface harmony
- Civility: Honest respect even in disagreement; engaging authentically with differences

Debate vs. Dialogue:

- **Debate**: Challenging ideas to test them; trying to prove your point
- **Dialogue**: Connecting perspectives to understand; exploring ideas together
- Both have value in different contexts

Core principle: People are more complex than any single position they hold

- Avoid labeling: "Someone who questions vaccine research" vs. "anti-vaxxer"
- Avoid over-identification: "I happen to support this policy" vs. "I am a [policy] person"

Why this matters:

- Reduces defensiveness: People can change minds without losing face
- **Enables learning**: Less threat to identity = more openness to new information
- **Prevents stereotyping**: Recognizes human complexity beyond single issues

Teaching examples:

- "Someone who prefers traditional teaching methods isn't necessarily 'anti-technology'"
- "Someone who supports stricter school rules isn't necessarily 'authoritarian'"
- "Someone who questions a school policy isn't necessarily 'against the school"

Civility Self-Assessment Guidelines

Purpose and Framing

- **Baseline establishment**: Understanding current skill levels, not evaluation
- Growth mindset: Focus on development and improvement
- **Personal reflection**: Honest self-assessment for personal benefit
- **Skill building**: Foundation for targeted improvement

Administering the Survey

- Emphasize honesty: "There are no wrong answers this helps you grow"
- Avoid perfectionism: "No one scores perfectly we're all learning"
- Private reflection: "This is for your own understanding and development"
- Connect to goals: "This helps us focus on skills that matter in diverse settings"

Key Skills Covered

- 1. Listening to understand vs. listening to respond
- 2. **Openness** to different perspectives
- 3. Respectful disagreement without personal attacks
- 4. **Asking questions** vs. making assumptions
- 5. **Acknowledging merit** in opposing arguments
- 6. Emotional awareness and regulation
- 7. **Intellectual humility** and openness to being wrong

Digital Discourse Challenges

Unique Online Challenges

Absence of nonverbal cues:

- Can't see facial expressions, body language, tone
- Easy to misinterpret intent or emotion
- Missing context that would clarify meaning

Ouick reaction culture:

- Pressure to respond immediately
- Less time for thoughtful consideration
- Impulse responses without reflection

Echo chambers:

Algorithms show similar viewpoints

- Reduced exposure to different perspectives
- Reinforcement of existing beliefs

Anonymity effects:

- Reduced accountability for words
- Easier to dehumanize others
- Less empathy for real people behind screens

Online Opportunities

- Connecting across differences: Meeting people from different backgrounds
- Access to diverse perspectives: Global viewpoints available
- Time for thoughtful response: Can draft, edit, reflect before posting
- Learning opportunities: Access to information and educational content

Practical Applications

- Pause before posting: Read twice, post once
- Assume good intent: Give benefit of the doubt
- Ask clarifying questions: "Help me understand what you mean"
- Focus on ideas: Address arguments, not people

Steel-Manning and Star-Manning

Steel-Manning Explained

Definition: Presenting the strongest version of someone else's argument before responding

Why it matters:

- Shows respect for the other person's intelligence
- Ensures you're addressing their actual position
- Builds trust and credibility
- Leads to more productive discussions

How to do it:

- 1. Listen carefully to understand their position
- 2. Identify their strongest points and best evidence
- 3. Present their argument in its most compelling form
- 4. Only then offer your response or disagreement

Example:

- Original: "Students should use phones in class"
- Straw-man (what NOT to do): "You just want to text your friends during learning"
- **Steel-man**: "You believe phones can be valuable educational tools that prepare students for a digital world and provide access to information"

Star-Manning Explained

Definition: Acknowledging the good intentions, values, or goals behind a position you disagree with

Why it matters:

- Recognizes shared humanity and values
- Builds connection even in disagreement
- Reduces defensiveness in others
- Creates foundation for productive dialogue

How to do it:

- 1. Look beyond the position to underlying values
- 2. Identify positive motivations or goals
- 3. Acknowledge these genuinely
- 4. Then engage with the position itself

Example continuation:

• **Star-man addition**: "I appreciate that you want students to be prepared for the modern world and have access to learning tools"

Teaching Tips

- Start with low-stakes examples: School policies, not political issues
- **Model the techniques**: Demonstrate with student suggestions
- **Practice together**: Work through examples as a class
- Connect to character strengths: Links to fairness, perspective-taking, humility

Connection to Character Strengths

Direct Connections

Intellectual honesty: Steel-manning requires fairly representing others' views

Fairness: Giving others' arguments their due consideration

Perspective-taking: Understanding different viewpoints and motivations

Humility: Acknowledging that others may have valid points **Empathy**: Recognizing good intentions behind different positions

Building on Previous Lessons

- Human personality: Civil discourse reflects our capacity for moral reasoning
- Universal strengths: These skills help communities thrive across cultural differences
- Character development: Practicing civil discourse builds virtue

Pluralism Connection

Why Pluralism Requires Civil Discourse

- Diverse perspectives: Different viewpoints naturally create potential for conflict
- Shared decision-making: Democratic processes require working together despite differences
- Common ground: Finding areas of agreement amid disagreement
- Respectful coexistence: Living together peacefully while holding different values

Preview of Skills Application

- Next lessons: Students will apply these skills to substantive disagreements
- Real-world relevance: These skills help navigate family, school, community, and civic discussions
- **Democratic participation**: Essential for effective citizenship in diverse society

Addressing Student Resistance

"This is too complicated/formal"

- Response: "Like any skill, it feels awkward at first but becomes natural with practice"
- Analogy: Learning to drive, play an instrument, or play a sport
- Benefit focus: "These skills help you be more persuasive and effective"

"Why can't we just say what we think?"

- Response: "You absolutely should express your views this helps you do it more effectively"
- **Distinction**: "This isn't about changing what you believe, but how you engage with others"
- Practical benefit: "People are more likely to listen when you use these approaches"

"This won't work with [difficult people]"

- **Response**: "You're right that not everyone will respond positively, but these skills help you maintain your integrity regardless"
- Control focus: "You can only control your own behavior, not others' responses"
- Character emphasis: "This is about who you want to be, not about controlling outcomes"

Assessment and Skill Development

Observable Behaviors During Practice

- Active listening: Students asking clarifying questions before responding
- Steel-manning: Accurately representing others' positions
- Star-manning: Acknowledging positive motivations
- Emotional regulation: Staying calm during disagreement
- Intellectual humility: Acknowledging uncertainty or good points from others

Common Challenges

- Reverting to debate mode: Wanting to win rather than understand
- Focusing on weak points: Attacking easiest targets rather than strongest arguments
- Getting emotional: Losing focus on skills when topics feel personal
- Performative civility: Going through motions without genuine engagement

Skill Building Strategies

- Start small: Practice with low-stakes disagreements
- Provide sentence stems: "What I hear you saying is..." "I appreciate that you..."
- Pause and reflect: Build in thinking time during discussions
- Celebrate progress: Acknowledge good examples when you see them